

## RADEMACHER VARIABLES IN CONNECTION WITH COMPLEX SCALARS

J. A. SEIGNER

ABSTRACT. We shall see that the Sidon constant of the Rademacher system equals  $\pi/2$ . This is also the best constant for the contraction principle if complex scalars are involved.

### 1. THE RADEMACHER SYSTEM AND ITS SIDON CONSTANT

Rademacher variables are generally understood as an i.i.d sequence of random variables taking the values  $-1$  and  $+1$  each with probability  $1/2$ . We model them as follows. Let  $\mathbb{E}$  denote the multiplicative group of the two elements  $-1$  and  $+1$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let us consider the cartesian power  $\mathbb{E}^\infty = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}$  and the natural maps

$$r_j: \mathbb{E}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{T}, \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}),$$

which assign to any sequence  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_j)_{j=1}^\infty$  their  $j$ th coordinate  $\varepsilon_j$ . Here  $\mathbb{T}$  denotes the group of complex numbers of modulus 1.

If we equip  $\mathbb{E}^\infty$  with the coarsest topology that will make all  $r_j$  continuous we find by Tychonoff's theorem that  $\mathbb{E}^\infty$  is compact. Moreover, if we define multiplication in  $\mathbb{E}^\infty$  coordinate wise the  $r_j$  become homomorphisms.

As we are usually concerned with only finitely many Rademacher variables at a time, and since we are interested in their distributional properties, barely, we may equally think of  $r_1, \dots, r_n$  ( $n$  fixed) as to be defined on  $\mathbb{E}^n$  rather than  $\mathbb{E}^\infty$ . This should cause no troubles.

In either case, it turns out that we move in a convenient setting.

Given a compact abelian group  $G$  a continuous homeomorphism  $\chi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  is called **character**. We say a sequence of characters  $\mathcal{X} = (\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots)$  is a **Sidon set**, provided we can find a constant  $C$  such that however we choose a natural  $n$  and complex numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  we have

$$(1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n |a_j| \leq C \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \chi_j \right\|_\infty.$$

---

Received March 14, 1997.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1991 *Revision*). Primary 46B20, 46E40; Secondary 43A46.

The author is supported by the DFG.

Of course,  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  is shorthand for the norm in  $C(G)$ , the space of continuous functions on  $G$ . If  $\mathcal{X}$  is a Sidon set, we label the smallest of all admissible constants  $C$  as

$$S(\mathcal{X}).$$

This is the **Sidon constant** of  $\mathcal{X}$  (cf. [4]).

The system of Rademacher variables  $\mathcal{R} = (r_1, r_2, \dots)$  is an obvious example for a Sidon set, for if we split the term  $\sum_{j=1}^n a_j r_j$  into its real and imaginary parts we certainly get away with  $C = 2$  in (1). We will see, that we can do slightly better, albeit the precise value of  $S(\mathcal{R})$  is rather of aesthetic interest. The proof rests upon the following fact, which is almost a blueprint of [1, Lemma 3.6, p. 21].

**Lemma 1.** *For  $j = 1, \dots, n$  let  $K_j$  be compact topological spaces and let  $f_j$  be continuous complex functions on  $K_j$ . Suppose there exist points  $a_j, b_j \in K_j$  such that*

$$\|f_j\|_\infty = |f_j(a_j)| = |f_j(b_j)| \quad \text{and} \quad f_j(a_j) = -f_j(b_j).$$

If we define  $f \in C\left(\prod_{j=1}^n K_j\right)$  by

$$f(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(t_j), \quad (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \prod_{j=1}^n K_j,$$

then

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \|f_j\|_\infty \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \|f\|_\infty.$$

Moreover, the constant  $\pi/2$  is best possible.

*Proof.* Let us fix some  $\vartheta \in [0, 2\pi)$  for an instant. Define

$$t_j = \begin{cases} a_j, & \text{if } \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\vartheta} f_j(a_j)) > 0 \\ b_j, & \text{if } \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\vartheta} f_j(b_j)) \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad j = 1, \dots, n$$

and choose  $\sigma_j \in [0, 2\pi)$  such that

$$\|f_j\|_\infty = e^{-i\sigma_j} f_j(b_j) = e^{i(\pi-\sigma_j)} f_j(a_j).$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\vartheta} f_j(t_j)) &= \max\left\{ \operatorname{Re}(e^{i(\vartheta+\sigma_j)}) \|f_j\|_\infty, \operatorname{Re}(e^{i(\vartheta+\sigma_j+\pi)}) \|f_j\|_\infty \right\} \\ &= \|f_j\|_\infty |\cos(\vartheta + \sigma_j)|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|f\|_\infty \geq \left| e^{i\vartheta} \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(t_j) \right| \geq \sum_{j=1}^n \operatorname{Re}(e^{i\vartheta} f_j(t_j)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \|f_j\|_\infty |\cos(\vartheta + \sigma_j)|.$$

Integration with respect to  $\vartheta$  will settle our issue, since

$$2\pi\|f\|_\infty \geq \sum_{j=1}^n \|f_j\|_\infty \int_0^{2\pi} |\cos(\vartheta + \sigma_j)| d\vartheta = 4 \sum_{j=1}^n \|f_j\|_\infty.$$

The fact that  $\pi/2$  is best possible will be clear by the example included in the proof of the following theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *The Sidon constant of the Rademacher system equals  $\pi/2$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$  we define  $f_j: \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $f_j(-1) = -a_j$ ,  $f_j(+1) = a_j$ . Let  $f: \mathbb{E}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be given by  $f(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(\varepsilon_j)$ , then we may just as well write

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j r_j,$$

where  $r_1, \dots, r_n$  are to be understood as defined on  $\mathbb{E}^n$  rather than  $\mathbb{E}^\infty$ . Now, the preceding lemma applies and we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^n |a_j| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j r_j \right\|_\infty.$$

As for the optimality of  $\pi/2$  fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  for an instant. Let  $\beta = e^{i2\pi/n}$  be an  $n$ -th root of unity. We are going to consider the function  $g_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \beta^{j-1} r_j$  on  $\mathbb{E}^n$ . If  $\text{sign}(a) \in \mathbb{T}$  is defined by  $|a|/a$  ( $a \neq 0$ ) and  $\text{sign}(0) = 1$  then

$$|g_n(\varepsilon)| = \text{sign}(g_n(\varepsilon)) g_n(\varepsilon) = \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Re}(\beta^{j-1} \text{sign}(g_n(\varepsilon))) \varepsilon_j \quad (\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)).$$

Since, obviously  $\sum_{j=1}^n \text{Re}(\beta^{j-1} e^{i\vartheta}) \varepsilon_j \leq |g_n(\varepsilon)|$  it follows that

$$\|g_n\|_\infty = \max_{\varepsilon_j = \pm 1} \max_{0 \leq \vartheta < 2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Re}(\beta^{j-1} e^{i\vartheta}) \varepsilon_j.$$

Note that  $\vartheta \mapsto \max_{\varepsilon_j = \pm 1} \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Re}(\beta^{j-1} e^{i\vartheta}) \varepsilon_j$  is  $2\pi/n$ -periodic and determine  $\vartheta_n \in [0, \frac{2\pi}{n})$  and  $\varepsilon_1^*, \dots, \varepsilon_n^*$  such that

$$\|g_n\|_\infty = \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Re}(\beta^{j-1} e^{i\vartheta_n}) \varepsilon_j^* = \sum_{j=1}^n \cos\left(\vartheta_n + \frac{2\pi(j-1)}{n}\right) \varepsilon_j^*.$$

By maximality every summand  $\cos\left(\vartheta_n + \frac{2\pi(j-1)}{n}\right) \varepsilon_j^*$  is bound to be non-negative. Thus, in actual fact we have

$$\|g_n\|_\infty = \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \cos\left(\vartheta_n + \frac{2\pi(j-1)}{n}\right) \right|.$$

Since  $\sum_{j=1}^n |\beta^{j-1}| = n$  we may conclude  $n \leq S(\mathcal{R}_n) \|g_n\|_\infty$  or, equivalently,

$$S(\mathcal{R}_n)^{-1} \leq \frac{\|g_n\|_\infty}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \cos\left(\vartheta_n + \frac{2\pi(j-1)}{n}\right) \right|.$$

By continuity of the cosine we find that the right hand side tends to  $\int_0^1 |\cos(2\pi t)| dt = \frac{2}{\pi}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We conclude  $S(\mathcal{R}) = \sup_n S(\mathcal{R}_n) \geq \frac{\pi}{2}$ .  $\square$

## 2. THE CONTRACTION PRINCIPLE USING COMPLEX SCALARS

The result on the Sidon constant of the Radmacher system can be applied to the complex version of the **contraction principle**. It is well known, and easily seen, that given reals  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  and vectors  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in some (real or complex) Banach space  $X$  we always have

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j x_j r_j \right\|_{L_p^X(\mathbb{E}^n)} \leq \max_{j=1, \dots, n} |a_j| \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j r_j \right\|_{L_p^X(\mathbb{E}^n)}$$

for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  (cf. [3, p. 91]).

If we want to extend this result to complex scalars and complex Banach spaces the basic tool is Pełczyński's celebrated result on commensurate sequences [5] which we state in a disguised form.

**Lemma 3** (Pełczyński [5], Pisier [6]). *Let  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n$  and  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n$  be characters on compact abelian groups  $G$  and  $H$ , respectively. If  $C \geq 1$  is such that*

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \psi_j \right\|_\infty \leq C \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \chi_j \right\|_\infty \quad \text{for } a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$$

then we find for all  $s \in H$  and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \psi_j(s) \chi_j \right\|_{L_p^X(G)} \leq C \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \chi_j \right\|_{L_p^X(G)},$$

regardless of the choice of the Banach space  $X$  and vectors  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $X$ .

As for the proof there is no point in going into details. Everything can be found in Pełczyński's paper ([5, Theorem 1], compare [6, Théorème 2.1]). Three notes may be helpful.

- Here,  $L_p^X(G)$  is the space of Bochner- $p$ -integrable  $X$ -valued functions on  $G$  (with respect to the Haar measure). Of course,  $\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \varphi_j: G \rightarrow X$  is continuous, so we need not bother about integrability.

- The claim remains true also for Orlicz spaces  $L_\phi^X(G)$  with literally the same proof as in [5], since all that is employed is Young’s inequality.
- We suggest to call the best constant  $C$  in the inequality above **relative Sidon constant of  $\Psi_n = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n)$  vs.  $\mathcal{X}_n = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n)$**  for the following reason. If  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n$  are Steinhaus variables, i.e.  $\psi_j: \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  is the projection on the  $j$ th coordinate, then

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \psi_j \right\|_\infty = \sum_{j=1}^n |a_j|$$

and we find that the best constant  $C$  equals  $S(\mathcal{X}_n)$ .

**Corollary 4.** *The best constant in the principle of contraction for complex scalars is  $\pi/2$ .*

*Proof.* We have to proof the inequality

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j x_j r_j \right\|_{L_p^X(\mathbb{E}^n)} \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j r_j \right\|_{L_p^X(\mathbb{E}^n)}$$

whenever  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are complex scalars of modulus  $\leq 1$ . Just as in the real case (cf. [3, pp. 95]), we may argue by convexity to see that the function

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j x_j r_j \right\|_{L_p^X(\mathbb{E}^n)}$$

takes its maximum on  $\{a : \|a\|_\infty \leq 1\} \subset \ell_\infty^n$  in an extreme point, say in  $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$  where  $|s_1| = \dots = |s_n| = 1$ . If  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n$  are Steinhaus variables the lemma implies

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \psi_j(s) r_j \right\|_{L_p^X(\mathbb{E}^n)} \leq C \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j r_j \right\|_{L_p^X(\mathbb{E}^n)}$$

with  $C = S(\mathcal{R}_n) \nearrow \pi/2$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ).

As for the question of optimality it is useful to note that if we restrict our attention to scalars  $a_j$  of modulus 1 the inequalities

$$(2) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j r_j x_j \right\|_{L_p^X(\mathbb{E}^n)} \leq C_n \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n r_j x_j \right\|_{L_p^X(\mathbb{E}^n)} \quad \text{for } |a_1| = \dots = |a_n| = 1$$

and

$$(3) \quad \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n r_j x_j \right\|_{L_p^X(\mathbb{E}^n)} \leq C_n \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j r_j x_j \right\|_{L_p^X(\mathbb{E}^n)} \quad \text{for } |a_1| = \dots = |a_n| = 1$$

are equivalent, leading to the same constant  $C_n$ .

Let us consider a second set of  $n$  Rademacher variables which we would like to interpret as vectors  $x_j$  in  $X = C(\mathbb{E}^n)$  given by  $x_j(\tilde{\varepsilon}_1, \dots, \tilde{\varepsilon}_n) = \tilde{\varepsilon}_j$ .

An inspection on the proof of Theorem 2 reveals that

$$\frac{1}{n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n e^{2\pi i j/n} x_j \right\|_{\infty}$$

tends to  $2/\pi$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The key observation is that for arbitrary complex numbers  $a_j$

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j x_j \right\|_{\infty} = \max_{\tilde{\varepsilon}_j = \pm 1} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \tilde{\varepsilon}_j \right| = \max_{\tilde{\varepsilon}_j = \pm 1} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \tilde{\varepsilon}_j \tilde{\varepsilon}_j \right|$$

however we choose  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \in \{-1, +1\}$ . Accordingly,

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n e^{2\pi i j/n} r_j x_j \right\|_{L_p^X(\mathbb{E}^n)} = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n e^{2\pi i j/n} x_j \right\|_{\infty}.$$

On the other hand

$$\frac{1}{n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n r_j x_j \right\|_{L_p^X(\mathbb{E}^n)} = \frac{1}{n} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \right\|_{\infty} = 1.$$

Combining these, the best constants  $C_n$  in

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n r_j x_j \right\|_{L_p^X(\mathbb{E}^n)} \leq C_n \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j r_j x_j \right\|_{L_p^X(\mathbb{E}^n)}$$

for  $|a_1| = \dots = |a_n| = 1$  satisfy  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n \geq \pi/2$ . By the equivalence of (2) and (3) our proof is complete.  $\square$

### 3. CONCLUDING REMARK

Rademacher variables also are involved when  $\ell_1^n$  is to be embedded into  $\ell_{\infty}$  or a suitable  $\ell_{\infty}^N$  ( $N \geq n$ ).

Let us recall the real situation. If we take  $N = 2^n$  we may identify  $\ell_{\infty}^N$  with  $\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{E}^n)$ . If the unit vectors in  $\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{E}^n)$  are labelled  $e_{\varepsilon}$  ( $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{E}^n$ ), we can define vectors  $u_j$  in  $\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{E}^n)$  via

$$u_j = \sum_{\varepsilon \in \mathbb{E}^n} r_j(\varepsilon) e_{\varepsilon}.$$

Then, for reals  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  we certainly have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n |a_j| = \max_{\varepsilon_j = \pm 1} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \varepsilon_j \right| = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j u_j \right\|_{\infty},$$

which amounts to saying that

$$\ell_1^n \rightarrow \ell_\infty(\mathbb{E}^n), \quad e_j \mapsto u_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

is an isometric embedding.

If we look at our previous discussion, the same mapping reinterpreted as acting between the corresponding complex spaces will have operator norm arbitrarily close to  $\pi/2$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  — and not any better.

Nevertheless, the universal character of  $\ell_\infty$  still allows us to embed  $\ell_1^n \xrightarrow{\iota} W_n \hookrightarrow \ell_\infty$  with  $\dim(W_n) = n$  and  $\|\iota\| \|\iota^{-1}\| \leq 1 + \delta$  for arbitrarily small  $\delta > 0$ .

Again, isometry is possible if we invoke **Kronecker’s theorem** on diophantine approximation (for a proof and related discussions consult e.g. [2, Chapt. XXIII, pp. 371–391]).

**Theorem 5** (Kronecker 1884). *Let  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$  be in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that the set*

$$1, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$$

*is linearly independent over the field  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Given arbitrary  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\delta > 0$  there exist a natural number  $m$  and integers  $k_1, \dots, k_n$  such that*

$$\left| \xi_j - m\beta_j - k_j \right| < \delta \quad (j = 1, \dots, n).$$

We employ this result for our purposes.

Since

$$\left| e^{ia} - e^{ib} \right| \leq |a - b| \quad (a, b \in \mathbb{R})$$

we see that

$$\left| \exp(2\pi i \alpha_j) - \exp(2\pi i m \beta_j) \right| = \left| \exp(2\pi i \alpha_j) - \exp(2\pi i (m\beta_j + k_j)) \right| \leq 2\pi\delta.$$

We conclude that the sequence of vectors  $\{x_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  defined by

$$x_m = \sum_{j=1}^n \exp(2\pi i m \beta_j) e_j \in \mathbb{C}^n \quad (m \in \mathbb{N})$$

is dense in  $\mathbb{T}^n$ .

Put

$$w_j = \left( e^{2\pi i m \beta_j} \right)_{m=1}^\infty \in \ell_\infty. \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

Given complex numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , by density we get

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j w_j \right\|_\infty = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j e^{2\pi i m \beta_j} \right| = \sup_{\|(\xi_j)_1^n\|_\infty=1} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \xi_j \right| = \sum_{j=1}^n |a_j|.$$

Finally, if  $W_n = \text{span}\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  we get the desired isometry  $\iota$

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_1^n &\xrightarrow{\iota} W_n \subset \ell_\infty \\ e_j &\mapsto w_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, n). \end{aligned}$$

**Acknowledgement.** I would like to thank my teacher Prof. Dr. Albrecht Pietsch for his support and advice.

### References

1. Asmar N. H. and Montgomery-Smith S., *On the distribution of Sidon series*, Ark. M. **31** (1993), 13–26.
2. Hardy G. H. and Wright E. M., *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, third edition*, At the Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1954, Chpt. XXIII.
3. Ledoux M. and Talagrand M., *Probability in Banach Spaces*, Lecture notes in pure and applied mathematics, vol. **13**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1991.
4. López J. and Ross K., *Sidon Sets*, Lecture notes in pure and applied mathematics, vol. **13**, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1975.
5. Pełczyński A., *Commensurate sequences of characters*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **104**, no. 2 (1988), 525–531.
6. Pisier G., *Les inégalités de Khintchine-Kahane d'après C. Borell*, Séminaire sur la géométrie des espaces de Banach 1977-1978, Exposé no. VII, École Polytechnique, Palaiseau, 1977.
7. Seigner J. A., *Über eine Klasse von Idealnormen, die mit Orthonormalsystemen gebildet sind*, Ph.D. Dissertation, Universität Jena, 1995.

J. A. Seigner, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena, Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik, D-07743 Jena, Germany