

SUBALTERNATIVE ALGEBRAS

A. CEDILNIK

ABSTRACT. An algebra is called subalternative if the associator of any three linearly dependent elements is their linear combination. We prove that in characteristic $\neq 2, 3$ any such algebra is Maltsev-admissible and can be identified with a hyperplan in certain unital alternative algebra.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [2] we discussed **subassociative algebras** in which any associator is a linear combination of its arguments. A subassociative algebra is always Lie-admissible. In any associative unital algebra G , one can make a hyperplan H , which does not contain the unit, a subassociative algebra by projecting the multiplication from G into H ; any subassociative algebra in characteristic not 2, 3 can be made in this way.

The following article is a continuation of [2]. We shall generalize the previous results to **subalternative algebras**, in which any associator of linearly dependent elements is their linear combination. It is not surprising that any such algebra is Maltsev-admissible and can be constructed on a hyperplan of some alternative algebra (except of course pathological cases in characteristic 2 or 3).

In [4] there are classified anticommutative algebras (over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\neq 2$) in which there exist bilinear forms $(x, y) \mapsto N(x, y)$ satisfying the identities: $N(x, y) = N(y, x)$ (symmetry), $N(xy, z) = N(x, yz)$ (invariance), $(xy)y = N(x, y)y - N(y, y)x$ (which is a special form of subalternativity, since $(xy)y = [x, y, y] = -[y, y, x]$). We will prove that the existence and the properties of such a form are consequences of subalternativity, even if the base field is not algebraically closed, which gives a still wider significance to the above mentioned classification.

Throughout the article we will suppose the conventions and definitions from [2].

Received May 14, 1998.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1991 *Revision*). Primary 17A30, 17D10.

2. PRELIMINARY FACTS

Definition 1. Let H be an algebra with a multiplication $(x, y) \mapsto xy$, over a field \mathbb{F} . H is **subalternative algebra** if $\forall(x, y) \in H^2 \exists(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \in \mathbb{F}^4$:

$$[x, x, y] = \alpha x + \beta y, \quad [x, y, y] = \gamma x + \delta y.$$

Definition 2. A subalternative algebra H from Definition 1 is **proper** if there exists such a bilinear form $A: H^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ that the following holds:

- (1) $[x, x, y] = A(x, y)x - A(x, x)y,$
- (2) $[x, y, y] = A(y, y)x - A(x, y)y,$
- (3) $A(x^2, y) = A(x, xy),$
- (4) $A(xy, y) = A(x, y^2).$

Because of the identity

$$(5) \quad [x, y, x] = [x, x + y, x + y] - [x, x, x] - [x, x, y] - [x, y, y]$$

the associator $[x, y, x]$ in a subalternative algebra is also a linear combination of its arguments. This implies the following proposition.

Proposition 3. *An algebra H over \mathbb{F} is subalternative if and only if for any linearly dependent triple $\{x, y, z\} \subset H$, the associator $[x, y, z]$ is a linear combination of its arguments.*

Of course, any subassociative algebra is subalternative, and any proper subassociative algebra is proper subalternative.

The next proposition is obvious.

Proposition 4. *Any subalternative algebra of dimension ≤ 3 is subassociative.*

Propositions 5 and 6 from [2] are (mutatis mutandis) correct also for alternative and subalternative algebras.

Proposition 5. *Let G be an alternative algebra with multiplication $(a, b) \mapsto a * b$ and with a unit e . Further let $P: G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ be a linear functional and $P(e) = 1$. Define in $H := \text{Ker } P$ a new multiplication*

$$(x, y) \mapsto xy := x * y - A(x, y)e,$$

where $A(x, y) := P(x * y)$. Then H is a proper subalternative algebra and A is the bilinear form from Definition 2.

Proposition 6. *Let H be a proper subalternative algebra from Definition 2, and $G := \mathbb{F}e \oplus H$, where $e \notin H$. Introduce in G a new multiplication*

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha e + x, \beta e + y) &\mapsto (\alpha e + x) * (\beta e + y) := \\ &= (\alpha\beta + A(x, y))e + \alpha y + \beta x + xy. \end{aligned}$$

G with this multiplication is an alternative algebra with unit e .

Corollary 7. *Let H be a proper subalternative algebra from Definition 2. Then for any linearly dependent triple $\{x, y, z\} \subset H$ there holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad [x, y, z] &= A(y, z)x - A(x, y)z, \\ (7) \quad A(xy, z) &= A(x, yz). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 8. *Improper subassociative algebra is also improper subalternative.*

Proof. For the twodimensional strange subassociative algebras from [2, Tables 7 and 8], it is enough to look over the associators $[p, p, q]$ and $[q, p, p]$.

In the case of threedimensional strange subassociative algebras [2, Table 9], the best way to check the proposition is to use a computer.

Now, suppose that the observed algebra is improper non-strange (with a dimension > 2 and $\text{chr } \mathbb{F} = 2$). Then:

$$[x, y, z] = A'(y, z)x + B'(x, z)y + C'(x, y)z$$

identically, for certain bilinear forms A', B', C' .

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y, y] &= A'(y, y)x + B'(x, y)y + C'(x, y)y \\ &= A(y, y)x + A(x, y)y \end{aligned}$$

Then: $A(x, y) = B'(x, y) + C'(x, y) = A'(x, y)$ by [2, (15)] for any x, y linearly independent, and then also $A(y, y) = A'(y, y)$.

$$\begin{aligned} [x, x, y] &= A'(x, y)x + B'(x, y)x + C'(x, x)y \\ &= A(x, y)x + A(x, x)y \end{aligned}$$

This gives $B'(x, y) = 0$ for any x, y , which is impossible. \square

Remark. In fact, we proved a little more: if a subassociative algebra has a bilinear form A with the identities (1) and (2), it is proper subassociative.

From Propositions 4, 5, 8 and Corollary 7 we find the following interesting consequences:

- a) Alternative algebra of dimension ≤ 3 is associative.
- b) Unital alternative algebra of dimension ≤ 4 is associative.

For $U \subset H$, let $\text{alg}_H U$ be the subalgebra of H generated by U , and for $V \subset G$ let $\text{alg}_G V$ be the subalgebra of G generated by V ; here H and G are the algebras from Propositions 5 and 6. Any element from $\text{alg}_H\{u, v\}$ is also from $\text{alg}_G\{u, v, e\}$. If $x \in \text{alg}_H\{u, v\}$ then there exists such $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ that $\alpha e + x \in \text{alg}_G\{u, v\}$. According to Artin's theorem, $\text{alg}_G\{u, v\}$ is associative subalgebra of G . If x, y, z are from $\text{alg}_H\{u, v\}$ and hence for certain α, β, γ also $\alpha e + x, \beta e + y, \gamma e + z$ from $\text{alg}_G\{u, v\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [\alpha e + x, \beta e + y, \gamma e + z]_G = [x, y, z]_G \\ &= [x, y, z]_H + A(x, y)z - A(y, z)x + (A(xy, z) - A(x, yz))e. \end{aligned}$$

So we have

Proposition 9. *Let H be a proper subalternative algebra. Then for any $(u, v) \in H^2$, $\text{alg}_H\{u, v\}$ is a proper subassociative algebra.*

3. PROPER SUBALTERNATIVE ALGEBRAS

Theorem 10. *Let H be a subalternative algebra over \mathbb{F} , $\text{chr } \mathbb{F} \neq 2$, excluding also the case $\dim H = 2$, $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}_3$. Then there exists such a bilinear form A that (1) and (2) hold.*

Proof. If $\dim H \leq 3$, H is subassociative and the theorem holds. Hence we shall suppose also that $\dim H > 3$.

$$[x, z, y] + [z, x, y] = [x + z, x + z, y] - [x, x, y] - [z, z, y] \in \text{lin}\{x, y, z\}.$$

By [2, Lemma 7] there exist three bilinear forms A_1, A_2, A_3 , such that:

$$[x, z, y] + [z, x, y] = A_1(y, z)x + A_2(x, z)y + A_3(x, y)z.$$

For $z = x$ we get:

$$[x, x, y] = \frac{1}{2}(A_1(y, x) + A_3(x, y))x + \frac{1}{2}A_2(x, x)y.$$

Analogous conclusion holds for $[y, x, x]$. Hence, there exist four bilinear forms A, B, C, D , such that the following identities hold:

$$(8) \quad [x, x, y] = A(x, y)x - B(x, x)y,$$

$$(9) \quad [y, x, x] = C(x, x)y - D(y, x)x.$$

(7) and (8) give for $x = y$ a new identity:

$$(10) \quad A(x, x) + D(x, x) = B(x, x) + C(x, x).$$

From (5) it follows:

$$(11) \quad [x, y, x] = (A(y, x) + D(y, x) - B(y, x) - B(x, y))x + \\ + (A(x, x) - C(x, x))y.$$

If we have for some $x : x^2 = \lambda x$, the associator $[x, x, x]$ gives us

$$(12) \quad B(x, x) = A(x, x).$$

Next, suppose that $x^2 \neq \lambda x$. If we use (8), (9) and (11) in Teichmüller equation $E(x, x, x, x)$, we get:

$$0 = (3A(x, x) - B(x, x) - 2C(x, x))x^2 + (\dots)x.$$

Therefore,

$$(13) \quad B(x, x) = 3A(x, x) - 2C(x, x).$$

Suppose additionally that $B(x, x) \neq A(x, x)$. Then $A(x, x) \neq C(x, x)$ and from $E(x, x, x^2, x)$ it follows: $xx^2 = \alpha_1 x + \beta_1 x^2$. Then: $x^2 x = [x, x, x] + xx^2 = \alpha_2 x + \beta_1 x^2$. Further: $A(x, x^2)x - B(x, x)x^2 = [x, x, x^2] = x^2 x^2 - x(\alpha_1 x + \beta_1 x^2) = x^2 x^2 - (\alpha_1 + \beta_1^2)x^2 - \alpha_1 \beta_1 x$, and $x^2 x^2 = \alpha_3 x + \beta_2 x^2$. $\text{alg}\{x\}$ is therefore a twodimensional algebra and hence subassociative. If it is proper subassociative, it possesses two bilinear forms A', B' for which the following identities hold: $B'(u, u) = 0$ and

$$[u, v, w] = A'(v, w)u + B'(u, w)v - (A'(u, v) + 2B'(u, v))w.$$

From $[x, x, x^2]$ and $[x^2, x, x]$ we get $B(x, x) = A'(x, x)$ and $C(x, x) = A'(x, x)$. But then we find the contradiction in (13). Hence, $\text{alg}\{x\}$ is an algebra from [2, Table 8] and $x = \pm p$. From this table and (8) and (9), the associators $[p, p, p]$ and $[p, p, q]$ determine $A(p, p) = 1 - \alpha$ and the associator $[q, p, p]$ also $C(p, p) = 1 - \alpha$ and the contradiction is final.

So, (12) holds in any case. (10) gives also $D(x, x) = C(x, x)$ and (8), (9) and (11) can be formulated with only two bilinear forms:

$$(14) \quad [x, x, y] = A(x, y)x - A(x, x)y,$$

$$(15) \quad [y, x, x] = D(x, x)y - D(y, x)x,$$

$$(16) \quad [x, y, x] = (D(y, x) - A(x, y))x + (A(x, x) - D(x, x))y.$$

We have seen that if $x^2 \neq \lambda x$ then (13) holds and consequently $A(x, x) = D(x, x)$. We claim that this is always true. So, suppose that $x^2 = \lambda x$ and $A(x, x) \neq D(x, x)$. Choose y linearly independent from x . From $E(x, y, x, x)$ it follows: $yx = \alpha x + \frac{\lambda}{2}y$ (for some α). From $E(x, x, y, x)$ it follows: $xy = \beta x + \frac{\lambda}{2}y$ (for some β). But then $[x, y, x] = \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2}\lambda x$, which is in a contradiction with (16).

The linearized form of $A(x, x) = D(x, x)$ is

$$(17) \quad A(x, y) + A(y, x) = D(x, y) + D(y, x),$$

and the linearized form of (15) is

$$(18) \quad [y, x, z] + [y, z, x] = -D(y, z)x + (D(x, z) + D(z, x))y - D(y, x)z.$$

From $E(y, x, x, x)$ it follows:

$$(19) \quad [y, x, x^2] - [y, x^2, x] = D(yx, x)x - D(y, x)x^2.$$

We add the identity (18), with $z = x^2$, to (19):

$$(20) \quad [y, x, x^2] = (\dots)x + (\dots)y - D(y, x)x^2.$$

From $E(x, y, x, x)$ it follows:

$$(21) \quad [x, y, x^2] = (\dots)x - A(x, y)x^2.$$

In the identity

$$[x + y, x + y, x^2] - [x, x, x^2] - [y, y, x^2] = [x, y, x^2] + [y, x, x^2]$$

we use (14) on the left side and (20) and (21) on the right side:

$$0 = \alpha x + (A(y, x) - D(y, x))x^2 + \beta y$$

If we choose $y \notin \text{lin}\{x, x^2\}$, we find $\beta = 0$, and since β depends only to x , it then follows identically:

$$(22) \quad (A(y, x) - D(y, x))x^2 + \alpha x = 0.$$

Suppose that x and y are such elements that $A(y, x) \neq D(y, x)$. Then $x^2 = \lambda x$ and because of (17) also $y^2 = \mu y$. Since $A(y, \gamma x + \delta y) - D(y, \gamma x + \delta y) = \gamma(A(y, x) - D(y, x))$, we have $w^2 = \nu w$ for each $w = \gamma x + \delta y$. If $\dim \text{alg}\{x, y\} = 2$, this is an algebra from [2, Table 5] (without condition $pq \neq qp$). But in this algebra $A = D$; hence, $\dim \text{alg}\{x, y\} > 2$. Since $(x + y)^2 = \tau(x + y)$ and then $xy + yx = (\tau - \lambda)x + (\tau - \mu)y$, it must be: $z := xy \notin \text{lin}\{x, y\}$. From the associators $[x, y, y]$, $[y, x, y]$, $[x, x, y]$ and $[x, y, x]$ we get that zy, yz, xz and zx are linear combinations of x, y and z . If z and z^2 were linearly independent, it would be $A(y, z) = D(y, z)$ and consequently $A(y, x + z) \neq D(y, x + z)$ and $(x + z)^2 = \xi(x + z)$, which means that z^2 is a linear combination of x, y and z . Therefore, $\dim \text{alg}\{x, y\} = 3$ and according to [2, Theorem 12] we conclude that $\text{alg}\{x, y\}$ is proper subassociative and again $A = D$. \square

Theorem 11. *Let H be a subalternative algebra with a bilinear form A for which (1) and (2) hold.*

- (i) *If $\text{chr } \mathbb{F} \neq 3$ or if H is a subassociative algebra, then H is a proper subalternative algebra.*
- (ii) *If $\text{chr } \mathbb{F} = 3$ and H is not subassociative then the following weaker identities hold:*

$$(23) \quad A(xy, x) = A(x, yx),$$

$$(24) \quad A(x^2, y) + A(yx, x) = A(x, xy) + A(y, x^2).$$

Proof. As it was pointed out in Remark after Proposition 8, the subassociativity is sufficient for properness. Therefore we may suppose that $\dim H > 3$.

Denote:

$$R(x, y) := A(x^2, y) - A(x, xy),$$

$$M(x, y) := A(xy, x) - A(x, yx),$$

$$L(x, y) := A(yx, x) - A(y, x^2).$$

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} [x^2, x, y] &= [x^2, x + y, x + y] + [x, x, yx] - [x^2, x, x] - [x^2, y, y] \\ &\quad - x[x, y, x] - [x, x, y]x - [x, xy, x] \\ &= A(x, y)x^2 - A(x^2, x)y - (R(x, y) + M(x, y))x, \end{aligned}$$

considering (5) and consequently

$$[x, y, x] = (A(y, x) - A(x, y))x.$$

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} [x, x^2, y] &= [x, x^2 + y, x^2 + y] + [xy, x, x] - [x, x^2, x^2] - [x, y, y] \\ &\quad - x[y, x, x] - [x, y, x]x - [x, yx, x] \\ &= A(x^2, y)x - A(x, x^2)y - (M(x, y) + L(x, y))x \end{aligned}$$

If we put (25) and (26) into the identity

$$[x + x^2, x + x^2, y] = [x, x, y] + [x^2, x^2, y] + [x^2, x, y] + [x, x^2, y],$$

we get:

$$(27) \quad R(x, y) + 2M(x, y) + L(x, y) = 0.$$

Further,

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} [y, x^2, x] &= [x^2 + y, x^2 + y, x] + [x, x, yx] - [x^2, x^2, x] - [y, y, x] \\ &\quad - x[x, y, x] - [x, x, y]x - [x, xy, x] \\ &= A(x^2, x)y - A(y, x^2)x - (R(x, y) + M(x, y))x. \end{aligned}$$

Considering (27) and (28), we also get:

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} [y, x, x^2] &= [y, x + x^2, x + x^2] - [y, x, x] - [y, x^2, x^2] - [y, x^2, x] \\ &= A(x, x^2)y - A(y, x)x^2 - (M(x, y) + L(x, y))x. \end{aligned}$$

Including (25) and (26) into $E(x, x, x, y)$, we find:

$$(A(x^2, x) - A(x, x^2))y = (L(x, y) - 2R(x, y))x.$$

Choosing x and y linearly independent we get $A(x^2, x) = A(x, x^2)$, which then implies:

$$(30) \quad L(x, y) = 2R(x, y).$$

Similarly we find from (28), (29) and $E(y, x, x, x)$:

$$(31) \quad R(x, y) = 2L(x, y).$$

If $\text{chr } \mathbb{F} \neq 3$, from (30) and (31) we already find $L = R = 0$. From $R(x + y, x) = 0$ follows also $M = 0$.

In the case $\text{chr } \mathbb{F} = 3$, (30) and (31) are equivalent with (24) and further (27) with (23). \square

Theorem 12. *Let H be a subalternative algebra over \mathbb{F} . Each of the following conditions*

- (i) $\dim H \leq 3$;
- (ii) H is subassociative;
- (iii) $\text{chr } \mathbb{F} \neq 2, 3$;
- (iv) H is proper subalternative;

implies that H is Maltsev-admissible.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) $\Rightarrow H$ is Lie-admissible, by [2, Theorem 13] $\Rightarrow H$ is Maltsev-admissible.

From Theorems 10 and 11 the implication (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) follows. Further, G from Proposition 6 is alternative algebra, the commutator algebra G^- is Maltsev and $H^- \cong G^- / (\mathbb{F}e)^-$ is also Maltsev. \square

Theorem 13. *Let H be an anticommutative subalternative algebra over \mathbb{F} with $\text{chr } \mathbb{F} \neq 2$. Then H is proper subalternative and also Maltsev algebra and for the bilinear form A from (1) and (2) the following identities hold:*

$$(32) \quad A(x, y) = A(y, x),$$

$$(33) \quad A(xy, z) = A(x, yz),$$

$$(34) \quad (xy)y = A(y, y)x - A(x, y)y.$$

Proof. If $\dim H \leq 3$, H is subassociative and, according to [2, Theorem 13], the theorem above is correct. For $\dim H > 3$, (1) and (2) hold for an adequate A , by Theorem 10. The anticommutativity implies flexibility $[x, y, x] = 0$ and (5) implies (32). (34) is a consequence of (2) and the anticommutativity. If we linearize (23), which is implied either by $\text{chr } \mathbb{F} = 3$ or by $\text{chr } \mathbb{F} \neq 3$ and (7), according to Theorem 11, we get:

$$A(xy, z) + A(zy, x) = A(x, yz) + A(z, yx).$$

Using (32) and anticommutativity, we transform this identity into

$$2A(xy, z) = 2A(x, yz),$$

which is (33), and the properness of the algebra is proved. Then, by Theorem 12, H is Maltsev-admissible and hence Maltsev. \square

The classification of algebras from Theorem 13 for \mathbb{F} algebraically closed is described in [4, Theorem 3.3].

A natural question for the end: is it possible and significant to generalize the theory treated in this article? We suggest two ways of thinking. Non-commutative Jordan algebras are a kind of natural generalization of alternative algebras and are defined with associator identities; hence, perhaps sub-(non-commutative Jordan) algebras are suitable research target. Secondly, an important example for motivation for further research is the color algebra ([3]).

References

1. Cabrera Garcia M., Martinez Moreno J. and Rodriguez Palacios A., *Mal'cev H^* -algebras*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **103** (1988), 463–471.
2. Cedilnik A., *Subassociative algebras*, Acta Math. Univ. Comen. **66**(2) (1997), 229–241.
3. Elduque A., *On the color algebra*, Algebras Groups Geom. **5** (1988), 395–410.
4. ———, *Alternative quadratic algebras*, J. Math. Phys. **31**(1) (1990), 1–5.
5. Myung H. C., *Malcev-Admissible Algebras*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1986.

A. Cedilnik, Biotehniška fakulteta, Univerza v Ljubljani, Večna pot 83, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia;
e-mail: anton.cedilnik@uni-lj.si