

MAXIMAL OPERATORS OF THE FEJÉR MEANS
OF THE TWO DIMENSIONAL CHARACTER SYSTEM
OF THE p -SERIES FIELD IN THE KACZMARZ
REARRANGEMENT

U. GOGINAVA

ABSTRACT. The main aim of this paper is to prove that the maximal operator σ^* of the Fejér means of the two dimensional character system of the p -series field in the Kacmarz rearrangement is bounded from the Hardy space H_α to the space L_α for $\alpha > 1/2$, provided that the supremum in the maximal operator is taken over a positive cone. We also prove that the maximal operator σ_0^* of Fejér means of the two dimensional character system of the p -series field in the Kacmarz rearrangement is not bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space weak- $L_{1/2}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The first result with respect to the a.e. convergence of the Walsh-Fejér means $\sigma_n f$ is due to Fine [1]. Later, Schipp [9] showed that the maximal operator $\sigma^* f$ is of weak type $(1, 1)$, from which the a. e. convergence follows on standard argument. Schipp result implies also the boundedness of $\sigma^* : L_\alpha \rightarrow L_\alpha$ ($1 < \alpha \leq \infty$) by interpolation. This fails to hold for $\alpha = 1$ but Fujii [2] proved that σ^* is bounded from the dyadic Hardy space H_1 to the space L_1 (see also Simon [13]). Fujii's theorem was extened by Weisz [15]. Namely, he proved that the maximal operator of the Fejér means of the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series is bounded from the martingale Hardy space H_α to the space L_α for $\alpha > 1/2$. Simon [11] gave a counterexample, which shows that this boundedness does not hold for $0 < \alpha < 1/2$. In the endpoint case $\alpha = 1/2$ Weisz [17] proved that σ^* is bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}(G_2)$ to the space weak- $L_{1/2}(G_2)$. The author [6] proved that σ^* is not bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}(G_2)$ to the space $L_{1/2}(G_2)$.

If the Walsh system is taken in the Kacmarz ordering, the analogous to the statement of Schipp [9] is due to Gát [3]. Moreover he proved an (H_1, L_1) -type estimation. Gát result was extended to the Hardy space by Simon [12], who proved

Received November 12, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42C10.

Key words and phrases. Character system, Weak type inequality.

This research was supported by the Georgian National Foundation for Scientific Research, Grant No GNSF/ST07/3-171.

that σ^* is of type (H_α, L_α) for $\alpha > 1/2$. Weisz [17] showed that in endpoint case $\alpha = 1/2$ the maximal operator is of weak type $(H_{1/2}, L_{1/2})$.

Gát and Nagy [4] proved the a. e. convergence $\sigma_n f \rightarrow f$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) for an integrable function $f \in L_1(G_p)$, where $\sigma_n f$ is the Fejér means of the function f with respect to the character system in the Kaczmaz rearrangement. This result was generalized by the author [7] and it is proved that the maximal operator σ^* of the Fejér means of the one dimensional character system of the p -series field in the Kaczmaz rearrangement is bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}(G_p)$ to the space weak- $L_{1/2}(G_p)$. By interpolation it follows that σ^* is of type (H_α, L_α) for $\alpha > 1/2$. We also prove that the assumption $\alpha > 1/2$ is essential, in particular, it is proved that the maximal operator σ^* is not bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}(G_p)$ to the space $L_{1/2}(G_p)$. By interpolation it follows that σ^* is not of type $(H_\alpha, \text{weak-}L_\alpha)$ for $0 < \alpha < 1/2$.

The aim of this paper is to prove that the maximal operator of Fejér means of the two dimensional character system of the p -series field in the Kaczmaz rearrangement is bounded from the Hardy space $H_\alpha(G_p \times G_p)$ to the space $L_\alpha(G_p \times G_p)$ for $\alpha > 1/2$ and is of weak type $(1, 1)$ provided that the supremum in the maximal operator is taken over a positive cone. So we obtain that the Fejer means of a function $f \in L_1(G_p \times G_p)$ converge a. e. to the function in the question, provided again that the limit is taken over a positive cone. We also proved that the maximal operator σ_0^* of Fejér means of the two dimensional character system of the p -series field in the Kaczmaz rearrangement is not bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}(G_p \times G_p)$ to the space weak- $L_{1/2}(G_p \times G_p)$. Thus, in the question of boundedness of the maximal operator σ_0^* the case of two dimensional character system of the p -series field in the Kaczmaz rearrangement differs from that one-dimensional character system of the p -series field in the Kaczmaz rearrangement. By Theorem 2 and interpolation it follows that σ_0^* is not bounded from $H_\alpha(G_p \times G_p)$ to the space weak- $L_\alpha(G_p \times G_p)$ for $0 < \alpha \leq 1/2$. In particular, from Theorem 2 we have that in Theorem 1 the assumption $\alpha > 1/2$ is essential.

2. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATION

Let \mathbf{P} denote the set of positive integers, $\mathbf{N} := \mathbf{P} \cup \{0\}$. Let $2 \leq p \in \mathbf{N}$ and denote by \mathbf{Z}_p the p th cyclic group, that is, \mathbf{Z}_p can be represented by the set $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$, where the group operation is the mod p addition and every subset is open. The Haar measure on \mathbf{Z}_p is given in the way that

$$\mu_k(\{j\}) := \frac{1}{j} \quad (j \in \mathbf{Z}).$$

The group operation on G_p is the coordinate-wise addition, the normalized Haar measure μ is the product measure. The topology on G_p is the product topology, a base for the neighborhoods of G_p can be given in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} I_0(x) &:= G_p, \\ I_n(x) &:= \{y \in G_p : y = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots)\}, \quad (x \in G_p, n \in \mathbf{N}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $0 = (0 : i \in \mathbf{N}) \in G_p$ denote the null element of G_p , $I_n := I_n(0)$ ($n \in \mathbf{N}$), $\bar{I}_n := G_p \setminus I_n$. Let

$$\Delta := \{I_n(x) : x \in G_p, n \in \mathbf{N}\}.$$

The elements of Δ are intervals of G_p . Set $e_i := (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots) \in G_p$ whose i -th coordinate is 1, the rest are zeros.

The norm (or quasinorm) of the space $L_\alpha(G_p \times G_p)$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_\alpha := \left(\int_{G_p \times G_p} |f(x^1, x^2)|^\alpha d\mu(x^1, x^2) \right)^{1/\alpha}, \quad (0 < \alpha < +\infty).$$

Let $\Gamma(p)$ denote the character group of G_p . We arrange the elements of $\Gamma(p)$ as follows. For $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $x \in G_p$ denote by r_k the k -th generalized Rademacher function

$$r_k(x) := \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i x_k}{p}\right) \quad (i := \sqrt{-1}, x \in G_p, k \in \mathbf{N}).$$

Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then

$$n = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i p^i, \quad \text{where } 0 \leq n_i < p \quad (n_i, i \in \mathbf{N}),$$

n is expressed in the number system with base p . Denote by

$$|n| := \max\{j \in \mathbf{N} : n_j \neq 0\} \quad \text{i. e., } p^{|n|} \leq n < p^{|n|+1}.$$

Now, we define the sequence of functions $\psi := (\psi_n : n \in \mathbf{N})$ by

$$\psi_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (r_k(x))^{n_k} \quad (x \in G_p, n \in \mathbf{N}).$$

We remark that $\Gamma(p) = \{\psi_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is a complete orthogonal system with respect to the normalized Haar measure on G_p .

The character group $\Gamma(p)$ can be given in the Kaczmarz rearrangement as follows: $\Gamma(p) = \{\chi_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$, where

$$\chi_n(x) := r_{|n|}^{n_{|n|}}(x) \prod_{k=0}^{|n|-1} (r_{|n|-1-k}(x))^{n_k} \quad (x \in G_p, n \in \mathbf{P}),$$

$$\chi_0(x) = 1 \quad (x \in G_p).$$

Let the transformation $\tau_A : G_p \rightarrow G_p$ be defined as follows:

$$\tau_A(x) := (x_{A-1}, x_{A-2}, \dots, x_0, x_A, x_{A+1}, \dots).$$

The transformation is measure-preserving and $\tau_A(\tau_A(x)) = x$. By the definition of τ_A , we have

$$\chi_n(x) = r_{|n|}^{n_{|n|}}(x) \psi_{n-n_{|n|}p^n}(\tau_{|n|}(x)) \quad (n \in \mathbf{N}, x \in G_p).$$

The rectangular partial sums of the double Fourier series are defined as follows:

$$S_{M,N}(f; x^1, x^2) := \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \widehat{f}(i, j) \chi_i(x^1) \chi_j(x^2),$$

where the number

$$\widehat{f}(i, j) = \int_{G_p \times G_p} f(x^1, x^2) \bar{\chi}_i(x^1) \bar{\chi}_j(x^2) d\mu(x^1, x^2)$$

is said to be the (i, j) -th Fourier coefficient of the function f . Let

$$I_{n,n}(x^1, x^2) := I_n(x^1) \times I_n(x^2).$$

The σ -algebra generated by the dyadic rectangles

$$\{I_{n,n}(x^1, x^2) : (x^1, x^2) \in G_p \times G_p\}$$

will be denoted by $F_{n,n}$ ($n \in \mathbf{N}$).

Denote by $f = (f^{(n,n)}, n \in \mathbf{N})$ martingale with respect to $(F_{n,n}, n \in \mathbf{N})$ (for details see, e. g. [14, 16])

The diagonal maximal function of a martingale f is defined by

$$f^* = \sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} |f^{(n,n)}|.$$

In case $f \in L_1(G_p \times G_p)$, diagonal maximal function can also be given by

$$f^*(x^1, x^2) = \sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \frac{1}{\mu(I_{n,n}(x^1, x^2))} \left| \int_{I_{n,n}(x^1, x^2)} f(u^1, u^2) d\mu(u^1, u^2) \right|, \\ (x^1, x^2) \in G_p \times G_p.$$

For $0 < p < \infty$ the Hardy martingale space $H_p(G_p \times G_p)$ consists of all martingales for which

$$\|f\|_{H_p} := \|f^*\|_p < \infty.$$

If $f \in L_1(G_p \times G_p)$ then it is easy to show that the sequence $(S_{p^n, p^n}(f) : n \in \mathbf{N})$ is a martingale. If f is a martingale, that is $f = (f^{(n,n)} : n \in \mathbf{N})$, then the Fourier coefficients must be defined in a little bit different way:

$$\widehat{f}(i, j) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{G \times G} f^{(k,k)}(x^1, x^2) \bar{\chi}_i(x^1) \bar{\chi}_j(x^2) d\mu(x^1, x^2).$$

The Fourier coefficients of $f \in L_1(G_p \times G_p)$ are the same as the ones of the martingale $(S_{p^n, p^n}(f) : n \in \mathbf{N})$ obtained from f .

For $n, m \in \mathbf{P}$ and a martingale f the Fejér means of order (n, m) of the two-dimensional character system of the p -series field in the Kaczmarz rearrangement of the martingale f is given by

$$\sigma_{n,m}(f; x^1, x^2) = \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} S_{i,j}(f; x^1, x^2).$$

For the martingale f , the restricted maximal operator of the Fejér means is defined by

$$\sigma_\lambda^* f(x^1, x^2) = \sup_{p^{-\lambda} \leq n/m \leq p^\lambda} |\sigma_{n,m}(f; x^1, x^2)|, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

The Dirichlet kernels and Fejér kernels are defined as follows

$$D_n^\gamma(x) := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \gamma_j(x), \quad K_n^\gamma(x) := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} D_j^\gamma(x),$$

where γ is either ψ or χ .

The p^n th Dirichlet kernels have a closed form:

$$(1) \quad D_{p^n}^\psi(x) = D_{p^n}^\chi(x) = \begin{cases} p^n & \text{if } x \in I_n, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin I_n, \end{cases} \quad \text{where } x \in G_p.$$

3. FORMULATION OF MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1. *Let $\alpha > 1/2$. Then the maximal operator σ_λ^* is bounded from the Hardy space $H_\alpha(G_p \times G_p)$ to the space $L_\alpha(G_p \times G_p)$. Especially, if $f \in L_1(G_p \times G_p)$ then*

$$\mu(\sigma_\lambda^* > y) \leq \frac{c}{y} \|f\|_1.$$

Corollary 1. *If $f \in L_1(G_p \times G_p)$, then*

$$\sigma_{n,m} f(x^1, x^2) \rightarrow f(x^1, x^2) \quad \text{a. e.}$$

as $\min(n, m) \rightarrow \infty$ and $p^{-\lambda} \leq n/m \leq p^\lambda$ ($\lambda > 0$).

Theorem 2. *The maximal operator σ_0^* is not bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}(G_p \times G_p)$ to the space weak- $L_{1/2}(G_p \times G_p)$.*

4. AUXILIARY PROPOSITIONS

We shall need the following lemmas

Lemma 1 (Gát, Nagy [4]). *Let $A \in \mathbf{N}$ and $n := n_A p^A + n_{A-1} p^{A-1} + \dots + n_0 p^0$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} nK_n^\chi(x) &= 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} r_j^i(x) p^j K_{p^j}^\psi(\tau_j(x)) + \sum_{j=0}^{A-1} p^j D_{p^j}^\psi(x) \sum_{l=1}^{p-1} \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} r_j^i(x) \\ &+ p^A \sum_{l=1}^{n_A-1} r_A^l(x) K_{p^A}^\psi(\tau_A(x)) + r_A^{n_A}(x) (n - n_A p^A) K_{n-n_A p^A}^\psi(\tau_A(x)) \\ &+ (n - n_A p^A) \sum_{i=0}^{n_A-1} r_A^i(x) D_{p^A}^\psi(x) + p^A \sum_{j=1}^{n_A-1} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} r_A^i(x) D_{p^A}^\psi(x). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2 (Gát, Nagy [4]). *Let $A, l \in \mathbf{N}$, $A > l$ and $x \in I_l \setminus I_{l+1}$. Then*

$$K_{p^A}^\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x - x_l e_l \notin I_A, \\ \frac{p^l}{1 - r_l(x)} & \text{if } x - x_l e_l \in I_A. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3 ([7]). *Let $n < p^{A+1}$, $A > N$ and $x \in I_N(x_0, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m \neq 0, 0, \dots, 0, x_l \neq 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ $m = -1, 0, \dots, l-1$, $l = 0, \dots, N$. Then*

$$\int_{I_N} n |K_n^\psi(\tau_A(x-t))| d\mu(t) \leq \frac{cp^A}{p^{m+l}},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & I_N(x_0, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m \neq 0, 0, \dots, 0, x_l \neq 0, 0, \dots, 0) \\ & := I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_l \neq 0, 0, \dots, 0) \quad \text{for } m = -1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & I_N(x_0, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m \neq 0, 0, \dots, 0, x_l \neq 0, 0, \dots, 0) \\ & := I_N(x_0, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m \neq 0, 0, \dots, 0), \quad \text{for } l = N. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4 ([5]). *Let $A \in \mathbf{N}$ and $n_A := p^{2A} + p^{2A-2} + \dots + p^2 + p^0$. Then*

$$n_{A-1} |K_{n_{A-1}}(x)| \geq cp^{2k+2s}$$

for $x \in I_{2A}(0, \dots, 0, x_{2k} \neq 0, 0, \dots, 0, x_{2s} \neq 0, x_{2s+1}, \dots, x_{2A-1})$, $k=0, 1, \dots, A-3$, $s = k+2, k+3, \dots, A-1$.

Lemma 5. *Let $x \in \bar{I}_N$ and $n \geq p^N$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{I_N} |K_n^\chi(x-t)| d\mu(t) \\ & \leq c \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^N \sum_{m=-1}^{l-1} \frac{1}{p^{m+l}} \mathbf{1}_{I_N(x_0, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m \neq 0, 0, \dots, 0, x_l \neq 0, 0, \dots, 0)}(x) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{p^{2N}} \sum_{j=1}^N p^{2j} \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \frac{1}{p^l} \mathbf{1}_{I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_l \neq 0, 0, \dots, 0, x_j, \dots, x_{N-1})}(x) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From Lemma 1 we write

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} n |K_n^\chi(x)| & \leq c \left\{ 1 + \sum_{j=0}^A p^j |K_{p^j}^\psi(\tau_j(x))| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{j=0}^A p^j |D_{p^j}^\psi(x)| + (n - n_{Ap^A}) |K_{n-n_{Ap^A}}^\psi(\tau_A(x))| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3 we obtain

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \int_{I_N} (n - n_{Ap^A}) \left| K_{n-n_{Ap^A}}^\psi (\tau_A(x-t)) \right| d\mu(t) \\ & \leq c \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^N \sum_{m=-1}^{l-1} \frac{1}{p^{m+l}} \mathbf{1}_{I_N(x_0, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m \neq 0, 0, \dots, 0, x_l \neq 0, 0, \dots, 0)}(x) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $x \in I_N(x_0, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m \neq 0, 0, \dots, 0, x_l \neq 0, 0, \dots, 0)$ for some $m = -1, \dots, \dots, l-1$, $l = 0, \dots, N$. Then using Lemma 2 $K_{p^j}^\psi(\tau_j(x-t)) \neq 0$ ($j > N$) implies

$$t \in I_j(0, \dots, 0, x_N, \dots, x_{j-1}), \quad m = -1.$$

Consequently, we can write

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{I_N} p^j \left| K_{p^j}^\psi(\tau_j(x-t)) \right| d\mu(t) & \leq \frac{cp^j}{p^j} p^{j-l} \mathbf{1}_{I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_l \neq 0, 0, \dots, 0)}(x) \\ & = \frac{cp^j}{p^l} \mathbf{1}_{I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_l \neq 0, 0, \dots, 0)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Let $j < N$. Then using Lemma 2 $K_{p^j}^\psi(\tau_j(x-t)) \neq 0$ implies

$$x \in I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_l \neq 0, 0, \dots, 0, x_j, \dots, x_{N-1}), \quad l = -1, 0, \dots, j-1.$$

Hence we have

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{I_N} p^j \left| K_{p^j}^\psi(\tau_j(x-t)) \right| d\mu(t) & \leq \frac{cp^j}{p^N} \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} p^{j-l} \mathbf{1}_{I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_l \neq 0, 0, \dots, 0, x_j, \dots, x_{N-1})}(x) \\ & = \frac{cp^{2j}}{p^N} \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} p^{-l} \mathbf{1}_{I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_l \neq 0, 0, \dots, 0, x_j, \dots, x_{N-1})}(x). \end{aligned}$$

From (1) we can write

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^A p^j \int_{I_N} \left| D_{p^j}^\psi(x-t) \right| d\mu(t) & \leq \frac{c}{p^N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} p^j \left| D_{p^j}^\psi(x) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{c}{p^N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} p^{2j} \mathbf{1}_{I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_j, \dots, x_{N-1})}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2)–(6) we complete the proof of Lemma 5. \square

5. PROOFS OF MAIN RESULTS

Proof of Theorem 1. In order to prove Theorem 1 it is enough to show that (see Simon [11], Theorem 1)

$$\int_{\bar{I}_N} \left(\sup_{n \geq 2^N} \int_{I_N} |K_n^\chi(x-t)| d\mu(t) \right)^\alpha d\mu(x) \leq c_\alpha p^{-N}, \quad \text{for } 1/2 < \alpha \leq 1.$$

Applying the inequality

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \right)^\alpha \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k^\alpha \quad (a_k \geq 0, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1),$$

from Lemma 5 we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\bar{I}_N} \left(\sup_{n \geq 2^N} \int_{I_N} |K_n^\chi(x-t)| d\mu(t) \right)^\alpha d\mu(x) \\ & \leq c_\alpha \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^N \sum_{m=-1}^{l-1} \frac{1}{p^{\alpha(m+l)}} \int_G \mathbf{1}_{I_N(x_0, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m \neq 0, 0, \dots, 0, x_l \neq 0, 0, \dots, 0)}(x) d\mu(x) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{p^{2\alpha N}} \sum_{j=1}^N p^{2j\alpha} \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \frac{1}{p^{l\alpha}} \int_G \mathbf{1}_{I_N(0, \dots, 0, x_l \neq 0, 0, \dots, 0, x_j, \dots, x_{N-1})}(x) d\mu(x) \right\} \\ & \leq c_\alpha \left\{ \frac{1}{p^N} \sum_{l=0}^N \sum_{m=-1}^{l-1} \frac{p^m}{p^{\alpha(m+l)}} + \frac{1}{p^N p^{2\alpha N}} \sum_{j=1}^N p^{2j\alpha} \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \frac{p^{N-j}}{p^{l\alpha}} \right\} \leq cp^{-N}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 1 is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $A \in \mathbf{P}$ and

$$f_A(x^1, x^2) := (D_{p^{2A+1}}(x^1) - D_{p^{2A}}(x^1)) (D_{p^{2A+1}}(x^2) - D_{p^{2A}}(x^2)).$$

It is simple to calculate

$$\widehat{f}_A^\psi(i, k) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i, k = p^{2A}, \dots, p^{2A+1} - 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(7) \quad S_{i,j}^\psi(f_A; x^1, x^2) = \begin{cases} \left(D_i^\psi(x^1) - D_{p^{2A}}(x^1) \right) \left(D_j^\psi(x^2) - D_{p^{2A}}(x^2) \right), & \text{if } i, j = p^{2A} + 1, \dots, p^{2A+1} - 1, \\ f_A(x^1, x^2), & \text{if } i, j \geq p^{2A+1}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since

$$f_A^*(x^1, x^2) = \sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} |S_{p^n, p^n}(f_A; x^1, x^2)| = |f_A(x^1, x^2)|,$$

from (1) we get

$$(8) \quad \|f_A\|_{H_\alpha} = \|f_A^*\|_\alpha = \|D_{p^{2A}}\|_\alpha^2 = p^{4A(1-1/\alpha)}.$$

Since

$$D_{k+p^{2A}}^\chi(x) - D_{p^{2A}}^\chi(x) = r_{2A}(x) D_k(\tau_{2A}(x)), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, p^{2A},$$

from (7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_0^{\chi^*} f_A(x^1, x^2) \\ &= \sup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} |\sigma_{n, n} f_A(x^1, x^2)| \geq |\sigma_{n_A, n_A} f_A(x^1, x^2)| \\ &= \frac{1}{(n_A)^2} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n_A-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n_A-1} S_{i, j}^\chi f_A(x^1, x^2) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{(n_A)^2} \left| \sum_{i=p^{2A}+1}^{n_A-1} \sum_{j=p^{2A}+1}^{n_A-1} (D_i^\chi(x^1) - D_{p^{2A}}(x^1)) (D_j^\chi(x^2) - D_{p^{2A}}(x^2)) \right| \\ (9) \quad &= \frac{1}{(n_A)^2} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n_{A-1}-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{A-1}-1} (D_{i+p^{2A}}^\chi(x^1) - D_{p^{2A}}(x^1)) (D_{j+p^{2A}}^\chi(x^2) - D_{p^{2A}}(x^2)) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{(n_A)^2} \left| r_{2A}(x^1) r_{2A}(x^2) \sum_{i=1}^{n_{A-1}-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{A-1}-1} D_i^\psi(\tau_{2A}(x^1)) D_j^\psi(\tau_{2A}(x^2)) \right| \\ &= \frac{n_A^2-1}{n_A^2} \left| K_{n_{A-1}}^\psi(\tau_{2A}(x^1)) \right| \left| K_{n_{A-1}}^\psi(\tau_{2A}(x^2)) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$J_{2A}^{m, s}(x) := I_{2A}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{2A-2s-2}, x_{2A-2s-1} = 1, 0, \dots, x_{2A-2m-1} = 1, 0, \dots, 0)$$

and let

$$(x^1, x^2) \in J_{2A}^{k_i^1, k_i^1+1}(x^1) \times J_{2A}^{k_i^2, k_i^2+1}(x^2),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} k_i^1 &:= \left[\frac{A}{2} \right] + \left[\frac{1}{8} \log_p A \right] - l, \\ k_i^2 &:= \left[\frac{A}{2} \right] + \left[\frac{1}{8} \log_p A \right] + l \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, \left[\frac{1}{8} \log_p A \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then from Lemma 4 and (9) we obtain

$$\sigma_0^* f_A(x^1, x^2) \geq c \frac{p^{4k_1^1 + 4k_1^2}}{p^{4A}} \geq \frac{p^{2A + \log_p \sqrt{A} - 4l} p^{2A + \log_p \sqrt{A} + 4l}}{p^{4A}} \geq cA.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu \{ (x^1, x^2) \in G_p \times G_p : |\sigma_0^* f_A(x^1, x^2)| \geq cA \} \\ & \geq c \sum_{l=1}^{\lceil \frac{1}{8} \log_q \sqrt{A} \rceil} \sum_{x_0^1=0}^{p-1} \cdots \sum_{x_{2A-2k_1^1-2}^1=0}^{p-1} \sum_{x_0^2=0}^{p-1} \cdots \sum_{x_{2A-2k_1^1-2}^2=0}^{p-1} \mu \left(J_{2A}^{k_1^1, k_1^1+1}(x^1) \times J_{2A}^{k_1^2, k_1^2+1}(x^2) \right) \\ & \geq c \sum_{l=1}^{\lceil \frac{1}{8} \log_q \sqrt{A} \rceil} \frac{p^{2A-2k_1^1} p^{2A-2k_1^2}}{p^{4A}} \\ & = c \sum_{l=1}^{\lceil \frac{1}{8} \log_q \sqrt{A} \rceil} \frac{1}{p^{2k_1^1} p^{2k_1^2}} \\ & = c \sum_{l=1}^{\lceil \frac{1}{8} \log_q \sqrt{A} \rceil} \frac{1}{p^{A + \log_p \sqrt[4]{A} - 2l} p^{A + \log_p \sqrt[4]{A} + 2l}} \\ & \geq c \frac{\log_p A}{p^{2A + \log_p \sqrt{A}}} \\ & = c \frac{\log_p A}{\sqrt{A} p^{2A}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then from (8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{cA \left(\mu \{ (x^1, x^2) \in G_p \times G_p : |\sigma_0^* f_A(x^1, x^2)| \geq cA \} \right)^2}{\|f_A\|_{H_{1/2}}} \\ & \geq \frac{cA \log_p^2 A}{p^{-4A} p^{4A} A} \geq c \log_p^2 A \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad A \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2 is proved. \square

We remark that in the case $p = 2$ Theorem 2 is due to Goginava and Nagy [8].

REFERENCES

1. Fine J., *Cesàro summability of Walsh-Fourier series*. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **41** (1955), 558–591.
2. Fujii N. J., *Cesàro summability of Walsh-Fourier series*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **77** (1979), 111–116.
3. Gát G., *On $(C, 1)$ summability of integrable function with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system*, Studia Math. **130** (1998), 135–148.
4. Gát G. and Nagy K., *Cesàro summability of the character system of the p -series field in the Kaczmarz rearrangement*. Analysis. Math. **28** (2002), 1–23.

5. Blahota I., Gát G. and Goginava U., *Maximal operators of Fejér means of double Vilenkin-Fourier series*, Colloq. Math. **107(2)** (2007), 287–296.
6. Goginava U., *The maximal operator of the Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of the d -dimensional Walsh-Fourier series*, East J. Approx. **12(3)** (2006), 295–302.
7. ———, *The maximal operator of the Fejer means of the character system of the p -series field in the Kaczmarz rearrangement*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **71(1–2)** (2007), 43–55.
8. Goginava U. and Nagy K., *On the Fejér means of double Fourier series with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system*, Math. Period. Hungarica. **55(1)** (2007), 39–45.
9. Schipp F., *Certain rearrangements of series in the Walsh series*, Mat. Zametki **18** (1975), 193–201.
10. Schipp F., Wade W. R., Simon P. and Pál J., *Walsh Series, an Introduction to Dyadic Harmonic Analysis*. Adam Hilger, Bristol, New York, 1990.
11. Simon P., *Cesàro summability with respect to two-parameter Walsh system*, Monatsh. Math. **131** (2000), 321–334.
12. ———, *On the Cesàro summability with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system*, J. Approx. Theory, **106** (2000), 249–261.
13. ———, *Investigation with respect to the Vilenkin system*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Sect. Math. (Budapest) **27** (1985), 87–101.
14. Weisz F., *Martingale Hardy spaces and their applications in Fourier analysis*, Springer, Berlin – Heidelberg – New York, 1994.
15. ———, *Cesàro summability of one and two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series*. Anal. Math. **22** (1996), 229–242.
16. ———, *Summability of multi-dimensional Fourier series and Hardy space*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2002.
17. ———, *ϑ -summability of Fourier series*, Acta Math. Hungar. **103(1–2)** (2004), 139–176.

U. Goginava, Institute of Mathematics, Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences, Tbilisi State University, Chavchavadze str. 1, Tbilisi 0128, Georgia,
e-mail: u.goginava@math.sci.tsu.ge