Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae
Vol. LXXVIII, 2(2009), pp. 303–310

CERTAIN CLASSES OF p-VALENT FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH WRIGHT'S GENERALIZED HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTIONS

G. MURUGUSUNDARAMOORTHY

Abstract. The Wright's generalized hypergeometric function is used here to introduce a new class of p-valent functions $\mathcal{WT}_p(\lambda,\alpha,\beta)$ defined in the open unit disc and investigate its various characteristics. Further we obtain distortion bounds, extreme points and radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity of functions belonging to the class $\mathcal{WT}_p(\lambda,\alpha,\beta)$.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}(p)$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \qquad p < k; \quad p, k \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\} \tag{1.1}$$

which are analytic in the open disc $U = \{z : z \in \mathcal{C}; \quad |z| < 1\}$. For functions $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ given by (1.1) and $g \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ given by

$$g(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \qquad p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$$

we define the Hadamard product (or convolution) of f and g by

$$f(z) * g(z) = (f * g)(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n, \qquad z \in U. \tag{1.2}$$

For positive real parameters $\alpha_1, A_1 \dots, \alpha_l, A_l$ and $\beta_1, B_1 \dots, \beta_m, B_m$ $(l, m \in \mathbb{N} = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$ such that

$$1 + \sum_{n=k}^{m} B_n - \sum_{n=k}^{l} A_n \geq 0, \qquad z \in U,$$

Received August 26, 2008; revised January 6, 2009.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C45.
Key words and phrases. Analytic, p-valent; starlikeness; convexity; Hadamard product (convolution).

the Wright's generalized hypergeometric function [**11**]

$$ {}_l\Psi_m[(\alpha_1, A_1), \dots, (\alpha_l, A_l); (\beta_1, B_1), \dots, (\beta_m, B_m); z] = {}_l\Psi_m[(\alpha_j, A_j)_{1,l}(\beta_j, B_j)_{1,m}; z] $$

is defined by

$$ {}_l\Psi_m[(\alpha_j, A_j)_{1,l}(\beta_t, B_t)_{1,m}; z] = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{j=0}^{l} \Gamma(\alpha_j + nA_j \right) \left(\prod_{j=0}^{m} \Gamma(\beta_j + nB_j \right)^{-1} \frac{z^n}{n!}, \qquad z \in U. $$

If $A_j = 1(j = 1, 2, \dots, l)$ and $B_j = 1(j = 1, 2, \dots, m)$, we have the relationship:

$$ \Omega_l\Psi_m[(\alpha_j, 1)_{1,l}(\beta_j, 1)_{1,m}; z] \equiv {}_lF_m(\alpha_1, \dots \alpha_l; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m; z) = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha_1)_n \dots (\alpha_l)_n}{(\beta_1)_n \dots (\beta_m)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!} \tag{1.3} $$

$(l \leq m + 1; \quad l, m \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}; \; z \in U)$ is the generalized hypergeometric function (see for details [**2**]) where $(\alpha)_n$ is the Pochhammer symbol and

$$ \Omega = \left(\prod_{j=0}^{l} \Gamma(\alpha_j) \right)^{-1} \left(\prod_{j=0}^{m} \Gamma(\beta_j) \right). \tag{1.4} $$

By using the generalized hypergeometric function Dziok and Srivastava [**2**] introduced the linear operator recently. In [**3**] Dziok and Raina extended the linear operator by using Wright's generalized hypergeometric function. First we define a function

$$ {}_l\phi_m[(\alpha_j, A_j)_{1,l}; (\beta_j, B_j)_{1,m}; z] = \Omega z^p {}_l\Psi_m[(\alpha_j, A_j)_{1,l}(\beta_j, B_j)_{1,m}; z]. $$

Let $\mathbf{\Theta}[(\alpha_j, A_j)_{1,l}; (\beta_j, B_j)_{1,m}] : \mathcal{A}(p) \to \mathcal{A}(p)$ be a linear operator defined by

$$ \mathbf{\Theta}[(\alpha_j, A_j)_{1,l}; (\beta_j, B_j)_{1,m}]f(z) := z^p \; {}_l\phi_m[(\alpha_j, A_j)_{1,l}; (\beta_j, B_j)_{1,m}; z] * f(z) $$

We observe that, for $f(z)$ of the form (1.1), we have

$$ \mathbf{\Theta}[(\alpha_j, A_j)_{1,l}; (\beta_j, B_j)_{1,m}]f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \sigma_n \, a_n z^n \tag{1.5} $$

where σ_n is defined by

$$ \sigma_n = \frac{\Omega\Gamma(\alpha_1 + A_1(n - p)) \dots \Gamma(\alpha_l + A_l(n - p))}{(n - p)!\Gamma(\beta_1 + B_1(n - p)) \dots \Gamma(\beta_m + B_m(n - p))}. \tag{1.6} $$

For convenience, we write

$$\mathbf{\Theta}[\alpha_1]f(z) = \mathbf{\Theta}[(\alpha_1, A_1), \ldots, (\alpha_l, A_l); (\beta_1, B_1), \ldots, (\beta_m, B_m)]f(z) \tag{1.7}$$

Indeed, by setting $A_j = 1 (j = 1, \ldots, l)$, $B_j = 1 (j = 1, \ldots, m)$ and $p = 1$ the linear operator $\mathbf{\Theta}[\alpha_1]$, leads immediately to the Dziok-Srivastava operator [**2**] which contains, as its further special cases, such other linear operators of Geometric Function Theory as the Hohlov operator, the Carlson-Shaffer operator [**1**], the Ruscheweyh derivative operator [**6**], the generalized Bernardi-Libera-Livingston operator, the fractional derivative operator [**8**]. See also [**2**] and [**3**] in which comprehensive details of various other operators are given.

Motivated by the earlier works of [**2, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10**] we introduce a new subclass of p-valent functions with negative coefficients and discuss some interesting properties of this generalized function class.

For $0 \le \lambda \le 1$, $0 \le \alpha < 1$ and $0 < \beta \le 1$, we let $\mathcal{W}_p(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{A}(p)$ consisting of functions of the form (1.1) and satisfying the inequality

$$\left| \frac{\mathcal{J}_\lambda(z) - 1}{\mathcal{J}_\lambda(z) + (1 - 2\alpha)} \right| < \beta \qquad (z \in U) \tag{1.8}$$

where

$$\mathcal{J}_\lambda(z) = (1 - \lambda) \frac{\mathbf{\Theta}[\alpha_1]f(z)}{z^p} + \lambda \frac{(\mathbf{\Theta}[\alpha_1]f(z))'}{pz^{p-1}}, \tag{1.9}$$

$\mathbf{\Theta}[\alpha_1]f(z)$ is given by (1.7). Further let $\mathcal{WT}_p(\lambda, \alpha, \beta) = \mathcal{W}_p(\lambda, \alpha, \beta) \cap T(p)$, where

$$T(p) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A}(p) : f(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad a_n \ge 0; \quad z \in U \right\}. \tag{1.10}$$

The purpose of the present paper is to investigate the coefficient estimates, extreme points, distortion theorems and the radii of convexity and starlikeness of the class $\mathcal{WT}_p(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$.

2. Coefficient Bounds

In this section we obtain coefficient estimates and extreme points of the class $\mathcal{WT}_p(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let the function f be defined by* (1.10). *Then $f \in \mathcal{WT}_p(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} (p + n\lambda - p\lambda)(1 + \beta)\sigma_n a_n \le 2p\beta(1 - \alpha). \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. Suppose f satisfies (2.1). Then for $z \in U$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|\mathcal{J}_\lambda(z) - 1| - \beta\,|\mathcal{J}_\lambda(z) + (1-2\alpha)| \\
&\quad = \left| -\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n\lambda-p\lambda)}{p}(1+\beta)\sigma_n a_n z^{n-p} \right| \\
&\qquad - \beta \left| 2(1-\alpha) - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n\lambda-p\lambda)}{p}\sigma_n a_n z^{n-p} \right| \\
&\quad \le \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n\lambda-p\lambda)}{p}\sigma_n a_n - 2\beta(1-\alpha) + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n\lambda-p\lambda)}{p}\beta\sigma_n a_n \\
&\quad = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n\lambda-p\lambda)}{p}[1+\beta]\sigma_n a_n - 2\beta(1-\alpha) \le 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by maximum modulus theorem and (1.8), $f \in \mathcal{WT}_p(\lambda,\alpha,\beta)$. To prove the converse assume that

$$
\left| \frac{\mathcal{J}_\lambda(z) - 1}{\mathcal{J}_\lambda(z) + (1-2\alpha)} \right| = \left| \frac{-\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n\lambda-p\lambda)}{p}\sigma_n a_n z^{n-p}}{2(1-\alpha) - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n\lambda-p\lambda)}{p}\sigma_n a_n z^{n-p}} \right| \le \beta, \qquad z \in U.
$$

Thus

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{ \frac{\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n\lambda-p\lambda)}{p} a_n \sigma_n z^{n-p}}{2(1-\alpha) - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n\lambda-p\lambda)}{p}\sigma_n a_n z^{n-p}} \right\} < \beta, \tag{2.2}
$$

since $\operatorname{Re}(z) \le |z|$ for all z. Choose values of z on the real axis such that $\mathcal{J}_\lambda(z)$ is real. Upon clearing the denominator in (2.2) and letting $z \to 1^-$ through real values, we obtain the desired inequality (2.1). □

Corollary 2.1. *If $f(z)$ of the form* (1.10) *is in $\mathcal{WT}_p(\lambda,\alpha,\beta)$, then*

$$
a_n \le \frac{2p\beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+n\lambda-p\lambda)[1+\beta]\sigma_n}, \qquad n = k, k+1, \dots, \tag{2.3}
$$

with the equality only for the function

$$
f(z) = z^p - \frac{2p\beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+n\lambda-p\lambda)[1+\beta]\sigma_n} z^n, \qquad n = k, k+1, \dots,. \tag{2.4}
$$

Theorem 2.2 (Extreme Points)**.** *Let*

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_p(z) &= z^p \qquad \text{and} \\
f_n(z) &= z^p - \frac{2p\beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+n\lambda-p\lambda)[1+\beta]\sigma_n} z^n, \qquad n = k, k+1, \dots.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}
$$

Then $f(z)$ is in the class $\mathcal{WT}_p(\lambda,\alpha,\beta)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$
f(z) = \mu_p z^p + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \mu_n f_n(z), \tag{2.6}
$$

where $\mu_n \ge 0$ and $\mu_p + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \mu_n = 1$.

Proof. Suppose $f(z)$ can be written as in (2.6). Then

$$f(z) = \mu_p z^p - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \mu_n \left[z^p - \frac{2p\beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+n\lambda-p\lambda)[1+\beta]\sigma_n} z^n \right]$$
$$= z^p - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \mu_n \frac{2p\beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+n\lambda-p\lambda)[1+\beta]\sigma_n} z^n.$$

Now,

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n\lambda-p\lambda)[1+\beta]\sigma_n}{2p\beta(1-\alpha)} \mu_n \frac{2p\beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+n\lambda-p\lambda)[1+\beta]\sigma_n}$$
$$= \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \mu_n = 1 - \mu_p \leq 1.$$

Thus $f \in \mathcal{WT}_p(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$. Conversely, let us have $f \in \mathcal{WT}_p(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$. Then by using (2.3), we set

$$\mu_n = \frac{(p+n\lambda-p\lambda)[1+\beta]\sigma_n a_n}{2p\beta(1-\alpha)}, \qquad n \geq k$$

and $\mu_p = 1 - \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \mu_n$. Then we have (2.6) and hence this completes the proof of Theorem 2.2. □

3. Distortion Bounds

In this section we obtain distortion bounds for the class $\mathcal{WT}_p(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let f be in the class $\mathcal{WT}_p(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$, $|z| = r < 1$ and $c_n = (p+n\lambda-p\lambda)\sigma_n$. If the sequence $\{c_k\}$ is nondecreassing for $n > k$, then*

$$r^p - \frac{2p\beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+k\lambda-p\lambda)[1+\beta]\sigma_k} r^k \leq |f(z)| \leq r^p + \frac{2p\beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+k\lambda-p\lambda)[1+\beta]\sigma_k} r^k \tag{3.1}$$

$$pr^{p-1} - \frac{2pk\beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+k\lambda-p\lambda)[1+\beta]\sigma_k} r^{k-1} \leq |f'(z)| \leq pr^{p-1} + \frac{2pk\beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+k\lambda-p\lambda)[1+\beta]\sigma_k} r^{k-1}. \tag{3.2}$$

The bounds in (3.1) *and* (3.2) *are sharp since the equalities are attained by the function*

$$f(z) = z^p - \frac{2p\beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+k\lambda-p\lambda)[1+\beta]\sigma_k} z^k. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. In the view of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_n \leq \frac{2p\beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+k\lambda-p\lambda)[1+\beta]\sigma_k} \tag{3.4}$$

Using (1.10) and (3.4), we obtain

$$|z|^p - |z|^k \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_n \leq |f(z)| \leq \ |z|^p + |z|^k \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_n$$

(3.5)

$$r^p - r^k \frac{2p\beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+k\lambda-p\lambda)[1+\beta]\sigma_k} \leq |f(z)| \leq \ r^p + r^k \frac{2p\beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+k\lambda-p\lambda)[1+\beta]\sigma_k}.$$

Hence (3.1) follows from (3.5). Also,

$$|f'(z)| \leq pr^{p-1} + r^{k-1} \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} na_n \leq pr^{p-1} + r^{k-1} \frac{2pk\beta(1-\alpha)}{(p+k\lambda-p\lambda)[1+\beta]\sigma_k}.$$

Similarly, we can prove the left hand inequality given in (3.2) which completes the proof of the theorem. □

4. Radius of Starlikeness and Convexity

The radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity for the class $\mathcal{WT}_p(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ are given in this section.

Theorem 4.1. *Let the function* $f(z)$ *defined by* (1.10) *belong to the class* $\mathcal{WT}_p(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$. *Then* $f(z)$ *is p-valently close-to-convex of order* δ $(0 \leq \delta < p)$ *in the disc* $|z| < r_1$, *where*

$$r_1 := \inf_{n \geq k} \left[\frac{(p-\delta)(p+n\lambda-p\lambda)[1+\beta]\ \sigma_n}{2pn\beta(1-\alpha)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-p}}. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. The function $f \in T(p)$ is close-to-convex of order δ, if

$$\left| \frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}} - p \right| < p - \delta. \tag{4.2}$$

For the left-hand side of (4.2) we have

$$\left| \frac{f'(z)}{z^{p-1}} - p \right| \leq \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} na_n|z|^{n-p}.$$

The last expression is less than $p - \delta$ if

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{n}{p-\delta} a_n|z|^{n-p} < 1.$$

Using the fact that $f \in \mathcal{WT}_p(\lambda, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n\lambda-p\lambda)[1+\beta]\sigma_n a_n}{2p\beta(1-\alpha)} \leq 1,$$

we can say (4.2) is true if

$$\frac{n}{p-\delta}|z|^{n-p} \leq \frac{(p+n\lambda-p\lambda)[1+\beta]\sigma_n}{2p\beta(1-\alpha)}.$$

Or, equivalently,

$$|z|^{n-p} = \left[\frac{(p-\delta)(p+n\lambda-p\lambda)[1+\beta]\ \sigma_n}{2pn\beta(1-\alpha)}\right]$$

which completes the proof. □

Theorem 4.2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{WT}_p(\lambda,\alpha,\beta)$. Then*

(1) *f is p-valently starlike of order δ $(0 \le \delta < p)$ in the disc $|z| < r_2$; that is, $\mathrm{Re}\left\{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right\} > \delta$, $(|z| < r_2)$ where*

$$r_2 = \inf_{n\ge k}\left\{\frac{(p-\delta)(p+n\lambda-p\lambda)[1+\beta]\ \sigma_n}{2p\beta(1-\alpha)(k+p-\delta)}\right\}^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

(2) *f is p-valently convex of order δ $(0 \le \delta < p)$ in the disc $|z| < r_3$, that is $\mathrm{Re}\left\{1+\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right\} > \delta$, $(|z| < r_3)$ where*

$$r_3 = \inf_{n\ge p+1}\left\{\frac{(p-\delta)(p+n\lambda-p\lambda)[1+\beta]\sigma_n}{2n\beta(1-\alpha)(n-\delta)}\right\}^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Proof. (1) The function $f \in T(p)$ is p-valently starlike of order δ, if

$$\left|\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - p\right| < p-\delta. \tag{4.3}$$

For the left hand side of (4.3) we have

$$\left|\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - p\right| \le \frac{\sum_{n=k}^{\infty}(n-p)a_n\ |z|^n}{1-\sum_{n=k}^{\infty}a_n\ |z|^n}.$$

The last expression is less than $p-\delta$ if

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty}\frac{n-\delta}{p-\delta}a_n|z|^n < 1.$$

Using the fact that $f \in \mathcal{WT}_p(\lambda,\alpha,\beta)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty}\frac{(p+n\lambda-p\lambda)[1+\beta]\sigma_n a_n}{2p\beta(1-\alpha)} < 1,$$

we can say (4.3) is true if

$$\frac{n-\delta}{p-\delta}|z|^n < \frac{(p+n\lambda-p\lambda)[1+\beta]\sigma_n}{2p\beta(1-\alpha)}.$$

Or, equivalently,

$$|z|^n < \frac{(p-\delta)(p+n\lambda-p\lambda)[1+\beta]\sigma_n}{2p\beta(1-\alpha)(n-\delta)}$$

which yields the starlikeness of the family.

(2) Using the fact that f is convex if and only if zf' is starlike, we can prove (2), on lines similar to the proof of (1). □

Remark. In view of the relationship (1.3) the linear operator (1.5) and by setting $A_j = 1$ $(j = 1, \dots, l)$ and $B_j = 1 (j = 1, \dots, m)$ and specific choices of parameters l, m, α_1, β_1 the various results presented in this paper would provide interesting extensions and generalizations of p-valent function classes. The details involved in the derivations of such specializations of the results presented here are fairly straightforward.

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank the referee for valuable suggestions.

References

1. Carlson B. C. and Shaffer D. B., *Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **15** (1984), 737–745.
2. Dziok J. and Srivastava H. M., *Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function*, Appl. Math. Comput. **103**(1) (1999), 1–13.
3. Dziok J. and Raina, *Families of analytic functions associated with the Wright's generalized hypergeometric function*, Demonstratio Math., **37**(3) (2004), 533–542.
4. Murugusundaramoorthy G. and Subramanian K. G., *A subclass of multivalent functions with negative coefficients*, Southeast Asian Bull. Appl. Sci. **27** (2004), 1065–1072.
5. Najafzadeh Sh., Kulkarni S. R. and Murugusundaramoorthy G., *Certain classes of p-valent functions defined by Dziok-Srivasatava linear operator*, General Mathematics **14**(1) (2005), 65–76.
6. Ruscheweyh S., *New criteria for univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **49** (1975), 109–115.
7. Shenan G. M., Salim T. O. and Mousa M. S., *A certain class of multivalent prestarlike functions involving the Srivastava-Saigo-Owa fractional integral operator*, Kyungpook Math. J. **44**(3) (2004), 353–362.
8. Srivastava H. M. and Owa S., *Some characterization and distortion theorems involving fractional calculus, generalized hypergeometric functions, Hadamard products, linear operators and certain subclasses of analytic functions*, Nagoya Math. J. **106** (1987), 1–28.
9. Srivastava H. M. and Aouf M. K., *A certain farctional derivative operator and its applications to a new class of analytic functions with negative coefficients*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **171** (1991), 1–13.
10. Theranchi A., Kulkarni S. R. andMurugusundaramoorthy G., *On certain classes of p-valent functions defined by multiplier transformation and differential operator,* (to appear in journal Indine. Math. Soc.(MIHMI)).
11. Wright E. M., *The asymptotic expansion of the generalized hypergeometric function*, Proc. London. Math. Soc., **46** (1946), 389–408.

G. Murugusundaramoorthy, School of Science and Humanities, VIT University, Vellore-632014, India, *e-mail*: gms@vit.ac.in