ON *M*-PROJECTIVE CURVATURE TENSOR OF A GENERALIZED SASAKIAN SPACE FORM

VENKATESHA AND B. SUMANGALA

ABSTRACT. In the present paper, we have studied M-projectively flat generalized Sasakian space form, η -Einstein generalized Sasakian space form and irrotational M-projective curvature tensor on a Sasakian space form.

1. INTRODUCTION

A Riemannian manifold with constant sectional curvature C is known as realspace-form and its curvature tensor is given by

$$R(X,Y)Z = C\{g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y\}.$$

A Sasakian manifold (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) is said to be a Sasakian space form [3], if all the ϕ -sectional curvatures $K(X \wedge \phi X)$ are equal to a constant C, where $K(X \wedge \phi X)$ denotes the sectional curvature of the section spanned by the unit vector field X, orthogonal to ξ and ϕX . In such a case, the Riemannian curvature tensor of M is given by

$$R(X,Y)Z = \frac{C+3}{4} \{g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y\} + \frac{C-1}{4} \{g(X,\phi Z)\phi Y - g(Y,\phi Z)\phi X + 2g(X,\phi Y)\phi Z\} + \frac{C-1}{4} \{\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X + g(X,Z)\eta(Y)\xi - g(Y,Z)\eta(X)\xi\}.$$

As a natural generalization of these manifolds, P. Alegre, D. E. Blair and A. Carriazo [3], [1] introduced the notion of generalized Sasakian space form.

Sasakian space form and Generalized Sasakian space form have been studied by several authors, viz., [3], [2], [6], [14], [10].

Received July 11, 2012.

 $^{2010\} Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ Primary\ 53D10,\ 53D15,\ 53C25.$

Key words and phrases. generalized Sasakian space form, M-projective curvature tensor, η -Einstein manifold, irrotational M-projective curvature tensor.

VENKATESHA AND B. SUMANGALA

In 1971, G. P. Pokhariyal and R. S. Mishra $[\mathbf{13}]$ defined a tensor field W^* on a Riemannian manifold as

(1.2)
$$W^*(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z - \frac{1}{4n}[S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y + g(Y,Z)QX - g(X,Z)QY]$$

Such a tensor field W^* is known as *M*-projective curvature tensor.

The properties of the M-projective curvature tensor in Sasakian and Kaehler manifold were studied by R. H. Ojha [11] [12]. He showed that it bridges the gap between the conformal curvature tensor, coharmonic curvature tensor and concircular curvature tensor. S. K. Chaubey and R. H. Ojha [8] studied the properties of the M-projective curvature tensor in Riemannian and Kenmotsu manifold. S. K. Chaubey [9] also studied the properties of M-projective curvature tensor in LP-Sasakian manifold. C. S. Bagewadi, E. Girish Kumar and Venkatesha [4] studied irrotational D-conformal curvature tensor in Kenmotsu and trans-Sasakian manifolds. C. S. Bagewadi, Venkatesha and N. S. Basavarajappa [5] proved that if pseudo projective curvature tensor in a LP-Sasakian manifold is irrotational, then the manifold is Einstein. Motivated by these ideas, in the present paper, we made an attempt to study the properties of M-projective curvature tensor in generalized Sasakian space form. The present paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we review some preliminary results. In Section 3, we study M-projectively flat generalized Sasakian space form and obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for a generalized Sasakian space form to be M-projectively flat. And in Section 4, we study η -Einstein generalized Sasakian space form satisfying $W^*(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$. Finally in Section 5, we prove that M-projective curvature tensor in an η -Einstein generalized Sasakian space form is irrotational if and only if $f_3 = \frac{3f_2}{(1-2n)}$.

2. Preliminaries

An odd-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called an almost contact manifold if there exists a (1, 1) tensor field ϕ , a vector field ξ and a 1-form η on M, such that

(2.1)
$$\phi^2(X) = -X + \eta(X)\xi,$$

(2.2)
$$\eta(\phi X) = 0,$$

(2.3)
$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

(2.4) $\phi \xi = 0, \quad \eta(\xi) = 0, \quad g(X,\xi) = \eta(X),$

for any vector fields X, Y on M.

If in addition, ξ is a Killing vector field, then M is said to be a K-contact manifold. It is well known that a contact metric manifold is a K-contact manifold if and only if

(2.5)
$$(\nabla_X \xi) = -\phi(X)$$

for any vector field X on M.

210

On the other hand, the almost contact metric structure on M is said to be normal if $[\phi, \phi](X, Y) = -2d\eta(X, Y)\xi$ for any X, Y, where $[\phi, \phi]$ denotes the Nijenhuis tensor of ϕ given by

$$[\phi, \phi](X, Y) = \phi^2[X, Y] + [\phi X, \phi Y] - \phi[\phi X, Y] - \phi[X, \phi Y].$$

A normal contact metric manifold is called a Sasakian manifold. It can be proved that Sasakian manifold is K-contact, and that an almost contact metric manifold is Sasakian if and only if

(2.6)
$$(\nabla_X \phi)(Y) = g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X.$$

Given an almost contact metric manifold (M, ϕ, ξ, η, g) , we say that M is an generalized Sasakian space form if there exists three functions f_1 , f_2 and f_3 on Msuch that

(2.7)

$$R(X,Y)Z = f_{1}\{g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y\} + f_{2}\{g(X,\phi Z)\phi Y - g(Y,\phi Z)\phi X + 2g(X,\phi Y)\phi Z\} + f_{3}\{\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X + g(X,Z)\eta(Y)\xi - g(Y,Z)\eta(X)\xi\}$$

for any vector fields X, Y, Z on M, where R denotes the curvature tensor of M. This kind of manifold appears as a natural generalization of the well-known Sasakian space form M(C), which can be obtained as particular cases of general-ized Sasakian space form by taking $f_1 = \frac{C+3}{4}$ and $f_2 = f_3 = \frac{C-1}{4}$. Further in a (2n + 1)-dimensional generalized Sasakian space form, we have [1]

 $QX = (2nf_1 + 3f_2 - f_3)X - (3f_2 + (2n-1)f_3)\eta(X)\xi,$ (2.8)

(2.9)
$$S(X,Y) = (2nf_1 + 3f_2 - f_3)g(X,Y) - (3f_2 + (2n-1)f_3)\eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

- $r = 2n(2n+1)f_1 + 6nf_2 4nf_3,$ (2.10)
- $R(X, Y)\xi = (f_1 f_3)[\eta(Y)X \eta(X)Y],$ (2.11)
- $R(\xi, X)Y = (f_1 f_3)[g(X, Y)\xi \eta(Y)X],$ (2.12)
- (2.13) $\eta(R(X,Y)Z) = (f_1 f_3)[g(Y,Z)\eta(X) g(X,Z)\eta(Y)],$
- $S(X,\xi) = 2n(f_1 f_3)\eta(X).$ (2.14)

3. M-projectively flat generalized Sasakian space form

For a (2n+1)-dimensional (n > 1) *M*-projectively flat generalized Sasakian space form, from (1.2), we have

(3.1)
$$R(X,Y)Z = \frac{1}{4n} [S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y + g(Y,Z)QX - g(X,Z)QY].$$

In view of (2.8) and (2.9), the equation (3.1) takes the form

(3.2)
$$R(X,Y)Z = \frac{1}{4n} [2(2nf_1 + 3f_2 - f_3)\{g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y\} - (3f_2 + (2n-1)f_3)\{\eta(Y)\eta(Z)X - \eta(X)\eta(Z)Y + g(Y,Z)\eta(X)\xi - g(X,Z)\eta(Y)\xi\}].$$

Using (2.7), the equation (3.2) reduces to

$$f_{1}\{g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y\}$$

$$+ f_{2}\{g(X,\phi Z)\phi Y - g(Y,\phi Z)\phi X + 2g(X,\phi Y)\phi Z\}$$

$$+ f_{3}\{\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X\} + g(X,Z)\eta(Y)\xi - g(Y,Z)\eta(X)\xi\}$$

$$(3.3) = \frac{1}{4n}[2(2nf_{1} + 3f_{2} - f_{3})\{g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y\}$$

$$- (3f_{2} + (2n - 1)f_{3})\{\eta(Y)\eta(Z)X$$

$$- \eta(X)\eta(Z)Y + g(Y,Z)\eta(X)\xi - g(X,Z)\eta(Y)\xi\}].$$

Replacing Z by ϕZ in (3.3), we obtain

$$f_{1}\{g(Y,\phi Z)X - g(X,\phi Z)Y\} + f_{2}\{g(X,\phi^{2}Z)\phi Y - g(Y,\phi^{2}Z)\phi X + 2g(X,\phi Y)\phi^{2}Z\} + f_{3}\{g(X,\phi Z)\eta(Y)\xi - g(Y,\phi Z)\eta(X)\xi\} = \frac{1}{4n}[2(2nf_{1} + 3f_{2} - f_{3})\{g(Y,\phi Z)X - g(X,\phi Z)Y\} - (3f_{2} + (2n - 1)f_{3})\{g(Y,\phi Z)\eta(X)\xi - g(X,\phi Z)\eta(Y)\xi\}].$$

Putting $X = \xi$ in (3.4), we get

(3.5)
$$\begin{aligned} 4nf_1g(Y,\phi Z)\xi - 4nf_3g(Y,\phi Z)\xi \\ &= [4nf_1 + 3f_2 - (1+2n)f_3]g(Y,\phi Z)\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying (3.5), we get

(3.6) $[(1-2n)f_3 - 3f_2]g(Y,\phi Z)\xi = 0.$

Since $g(Y, \phi Z) \neq 0$, it follows from (3.6) that

(3.7)
$$f_3 = \frac{3f_2}{(1-2n)}.$$

Conversely, suppose that

$$f_3 = \frac{3f_2}{(1-2n)}$$

holds. Then in view of (2.7) and (2.9), we can write the equation (1.2) as $\hat{W}^*(X, Y, Z, W) = f_2\{a(X, \phi Z)a(\phi Y, W) - a(Y, \phi Z)a(\phi X, W)$

$$(3.8) + 2g(X, \phi Z)g(\phi I, W) - g(I, \phi Z)g(\phi X, W) + 2g(X, \phi Y)g(\phi Z, W) + f_3\{\eta(X)\eta(Z)g(Y, W) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)g(X, W) + g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\eta(W) - g(Y, Z)\eta(X)\eta(W) + g(Y, Z)g(X, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)\},$$

212

where $\dot{W}^*(X, Y, Z, W) = g(W^*(X, Y)Z, W)$. Replacing X by ϕX and Y by ϕY in (3.8), we get

$$\begin{split} \dot{W}^{*}(\phi X, \phi Y, Z, W) &= f_{2}\{g(\phi X, \phi Z)g(\phi^{2}Y, W) - g(\phi Y, \phi Z)g(\phi^{2}X, W) \\ &+ 2g(\phi X, \phi^{2}Y)g(\phi Z, W)\} + f_{3}\{g(\phi Y, Z)g(\phi X, W) \\ &- g(\phi X, Z)g(\phi Y, W)\}. \end{split}$$

Putting $Y = W = e_i$ where $\{e_i\}$, is an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at each point of the manifold, and taking summation over i $(1 \le i \le 2n + 1)$, we get

(3.10)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} \dot{W}^*(\phi X, \phi e_i, Z, e_i) = f_2 \{ -g(\phi X, \phi Z)g(\phi e_i, \phi e_i) + g(\phi^2 Z, \phi^2 X) + 2g(\phi^2 X, \phi^2 Z) \} - f_3 g(\phi Z, \phi X).$$

Putting $X = Z = e_i$, where e_i , is an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at each point of the manifold, and taking summation over i $(1 \le i \le 2n + 1)$, we get after simplification that $f_2 = 0$. But then $f_3 = 0$ by (3.7).

Therefore,

(3.11)
$$R(X,Y)Z = f_1[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y].$$

The above equation gives

(3.12)
$$S(X,Y) = 2nf_1g(X,Y).$$

Hence in view of (1.2), we have $W^*(X, Y)Z = 0$. This leads us to state the following.

Theorem 3.1. A (2n+1)-dimensional (n > 1) generalized Sasakian space form is *M*-projectively flat if and only if $f_3 = \frac{3f_2}{1-2n}$.

But in [14], the author proved that if a (2n+1)-dimensional (n > 1) generalized Sasakian space form is Ricci semisymmetric, then $f_3 = \frac{3f_2}{1-2n}$. Hence we conclude the following.

Corollary 3.1. If a (2n + 1)-dimensional (n > 1) generalized Sasakian space form is Ricci semisymmetric, then it is M-projectively flat.

4. An η -Einstein generalized Sasakian space form satisfying $W^*(\xi, X)R = 0$

In view of (2.4), (2.8), (2.9) and (2.12), (1.2) becomes

(4.1)
$$W^*(\xi, X)Y = \frac{1}{4n} [(1-2n)f_3 - 3f_2] \{g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X\}.$$

Now we have

$$(4.2)^{(W^*(\xi,X)R)(Y,Z)U} = W^*(\xi,X)R(Y,Z)U - R(W^*(\xi,X)Y,Z)U - R(Y,W^*(\xi,X)Z)U - R(Y,Z)W^*(\xi,X)U.$$

But as we assume $W^*(\xi, X)R = 0$, (4.2) takes the form $W^*(\xi, X)R(Y, Z)U = R(W^*(\xi, X)Y, Z)U$

(4.3)
$$W^{*}(\xi, X)R(Y, Z)U - R(W^{*}(\xi, X)Y, Z)U$$

$$- R(Y, W^*(\xi, X)Z)U - R(Y, Z)W^*(\xi, X)U = 0.$$

Using (2.4), (2.11), (2.12), (2.13) and (4.1) in (4.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{4n} [(1-2n)f_3 - 3f_2] [\dot{R}(X,Y,Z,U)\xi + \eta(Y)R(X,Z)U \\ &+ \eta(Z)R(Y,X)U + \eta(U)R(Y,Z)X - (f_1 - f_3)\{g(Z,U)\eta(Y)X \\ &- g(Y,U)\eta(Z)X + g(X,Y)g(Z,U)\xi - g(X,Y)\eta(U)Z \\ &- g(X,Z)g(Y,U)\xi + g(X,Z)\eta(U)Y + g(X,U)\eta(Z)Y \\ &- g(X,U)\eta(Y)Z\}] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

(4.5)
$$\dot{R}(X,Y,Z,U) = g(X,R(Y,Z)U).$$

Taking inner product of (4.4) with respect to the Riemannian metric g and then using (2.4) and (2.13), we have

(4.6)
$$\frac{1}{4n}[(1-2n)f_3 - 3f_2][\dot{R}(X,Y,Z,U) - (f_1 - f_3)\{g(X,Y)g(Z,U) - g(X,Z)g(Y,U)\}] = 0.$$

Then

$$f_3 = \frac{3f_2}{(1-2n)}$$

 \mathbf{or}

(4.7)
$$\dot{R}(X,Y,Z,U) = (f_1 - f_3)\{g(X,Y)g(Z,U) - g(X,Z)g(Y,U)\}.$$

Using (2.4) and (4.5) in (4.7), we get

(4.8)
$$R(Y,Z)U = (f_1 - f_3)\{g(Z,U)Y - g(Y,U)Z\}.$$

Contracting (4.8) with respect to the vector field Y, we find

(4.9)
$$S(Z,U) = 2n(f_1 - f_3)g(Z,U).$$

Therefore,

(4.10)
$$QZ = 2n(2n+1)(f_1 - f_3)Z.$$

Hence,

(4.11)
$$r = 2n(2n+1)(f_1 - f_3)$$
 and so $f_3 = \frac{3f_2}{(1-2n)}$.

Thus, we state following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. A (2n+1)-dimensional (n > 1) η -Einstein generalized Sasakian space form satisfies the condition $W^*(\xi, X)R = 0$ if and only if $f_3 = \frac{3f_2}{(1-2n)}$.

In the light of Theorems 3.1 and 4.1, we state next collorary.

Corollary 4.1. A (2n + 1)-dimensional (n > 1) generalized Sasakian space form satisfies the condition $W^*(\xi, X)R = 0$ if and only if it is M-projectively flat.

5. The irrotational M-projective curvature tensor

Definition 5.1. The rotation (curl) of *M*-projective curvature tensor W^* on a Riemannian manifold is given by $[\mathbf{1}]$

(5.1)
$$\operatorname{Rot} W^* = (\nabla_U W^*)(X, Y)Z + (\nabla_X W^*)(U, Y)Z + (\nabla_Y W^*)(X, U)Z - (\nabla_Z W^*)(X, Y)U.$$

By virtue of second Bianchi identity, we have

$$(\nabla_U W^*)(X, Y)Z + (\nabla_X W^*)(U, Y)Z + (\nabla_Y W^*)(X, U)Z = 0.$$

Therefore, (5.1) becomes

(5.2)
$$\operatorname{Rot} W^* = -(\nabla_Z W^*)(X, Y)U.$$

If the *M*-projective curvature tensor is irrotational, then $\operatorname{curl} W^* = 0$, and so by (5.2) we get

$$(\nabla_Z W^*)(X,Y)U = 0.$$

Thus,

(5.3)
$$(\nabla_Z W^*)(X,Y)U = W^*(\nabla_Z X,Y)U + W^*(X,\nabla_Z Y)U + W^*(X,Y)\nabla_Z U.$$

Replacing $U = \xi$ in (5.3), we have

(5.4)
$$(\nabla_Z W^*)(X,Y)\xi = W^*(\nabla_Z X,Y)\xi + W^*(X,\nabla_Z Y)\xi + W^*(X,Y)\nabla_Z \xi.$$

Now, substituting $Z = \xi$ in (1.2) and then using (2.4), (2.8), (2.11) and (2.14), we obtain

(5.5)
$$(\nabla_Z W^*)(X,Y)\xi = k[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y],$$

where

(5.6)
$$k = \frac{1}{4n} [(1-2n)f_3 - 3f_2].$$

Using (5.5) in (5.4), we obtain

(5.7)
$$W^*(X,Y)\phi Z = k[g(Z,\phi X)Y - g(Z,\phi Y)X].$$

Replacing Z by ϕZ in (5.7) and simplifying by using (2.1) and (2.3), we get

(5.8)
$$W^*(X,Y)Z = k[g(Z,Y)X - g(Z,X)Y].$$

Also equations (1.2) and (5.8) give

(5.9)
$$k[g(Z,Y)X - g(Z,X)Y] = R(X,Y)Z - \frac{1}{4n}[S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y + g(Y,Z)QX - g(X,Z)QY].$$

Contracting the above equation with respect to the vector X and then using (5.6), we find

(5.10)
$$S(Y,Z) = 2n(f_1 - f_3)g(Y,Z),$$

which gives

(5.11) $r = 2n(2n+1)(f_1 - f_3).$

In consequence of (1.2), (5.6), (5.8), (5.10) and (5.11) we can find

(5.12)
$$R(X,Y)Z = -(f_1 - f_3)[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y].$$

Therefore, we can state the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. The *M*-projective curvature tensor in an η -Einstein generalized Sasakian space form is irrotational if and only if $f_3 = \frac{3f_2}{(1-2n)}$.

Theorem 4.1 together with Theorem 5.1 lead to the following corollaries.

Corollary 5.1. A (2n+1)-dimensional (n > 1) generalized Sasakian space form satisfies the condition $W^*(\xi, X)R = 0$ if and only if the M-projective curvature tensor is irrotational.

Corollary 5.2. A (2n + 1)-dimensional (n > 1) generalized Sasakian space form is irrotational if and only if it is M-projectively flat.

References

- Alegre P., Blair D. E. and Carriazo A., *Generalized Sasakian-space-forms*, Israel J. Math. 14 (2004), 157–183.
- Alegre P. and Carriazo A., Structures on generalized Sasakian-space-form, Differential Geom. and its application 26 (2008), 656–666.
- Carriazo A., Blair D. E. and Alegre P., Proceedings of the Ninth International Workshop on Differential Geometry, 9 (2005), 31–39.
- Bagewadi C. S., Girishkumar E. and Venkatesha, On irrotational D-conformal curvature tensor, Novi Sad J. Math., 35(2) (2005), 85–92.
- Bagewadi C. S., Venkatesha and Basavarajappa N. S., On LP -Sasakian manifolds, SCIEN-TIA Series A: Mathematical Sciences 16 (2008), 1–8.
- Belkhelfa M., Deszcz R. and Verstraelen L., Symmetric properties of Sasakian-space-forms, Soochow J. math. 31 (2005), 611–616.
- 7. Blair D. E., *Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 509, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- Chaubey S. K. and Ojha R. H., On the M-projective curvature tensor of a Kenmotsu manifold, Differential Geomerty-Dynamical Systems, 12 (2010), 52–60.
- Chaubey S. K., Some properties of LP-Sasakian manifolds equipped with M-Projective curvature tensor, Bulletin of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 3(4) (2011), 50–58.
- De U. C. and Sarkar A., On the Projective Curvature tensor of Generalized Sasakian-spaceforms, Quaest. Math., 33 (2010), 245-252.
- Ojha R. H., A note on the m-projective curvature tensor, Indian J. pure Applied Math. 8(12) (1975), 1531–1534.

ON *M*-PROJECTIVE CURVATURE TENSOR

- 12. ____, On Sasakian manifold, Kyungpook Math. J. 13 (1973), 211–215.
- 13. Pokhariyal G. P. and Mishra R. S., Curvature tensor and their relativistic significance II, Yokohama Mathematical Journal 19 (1971), 97–103.
- Sarkar A. and De U. C., Some Curvature properties of generalized Sasakian space-forms, Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics, 33(1) (2012), 22–27.

Venkatesha, Department of Mathematics, Kuvempu University, Shankaraghatta-577 451, Shimoga, Karnataka, India, e-mail: vensmath@gmail.com

B. Sumangala, Department of Mathematics, Kuvempu University, Shankaraghatta-577 451, Shimoga, Karnataka, India, *e-mail*: suma.srishaila@gmail.com