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In this paper, we investigate a time-dependent family of plane closed Jordan curves evolving in the normal direction with a velocity that is assumed to be a function of the curvature, tangential angle, and position vector of a curve. We follow the direct approach and analyze the system of governing PDEs for relevant geometric quantities. We focus on a class of the socalled curvature adjusted tangential velocities for computation of the curvature driven flow of plane closed curves. Such a curvature adjusted tangential velocity depends on the modulus of the curvature and its curve average. Using the theory of abstract parabolic equations, we prove local existence, uniqueness, and continuation of classical solutions to the system of governing equations. We furthermore analyze geometric flows for which normal velocity may depend on global curve quantities such as the length, enclosed area, or total elastic energy of a curve. We also propose a stable numerical approximation scheme on the basis of the flowing finite volume method. Several computational examples of various nonlocal geometric flows are also presented in this paper. Copyright © 2012 John Wiley \& Sons, Ltd.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we investigate a time-dependent family of plane closed Jordan curves $\Gamma^{t}, t \in[0, T)$, evolving in the direction of the inner normal with a speed $v$, which is assumed to be a function of the curvature $k$, tangential angle $v$, and position vector $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Gamma^{t}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\beta(\boldsymbol{x}, k, v) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that the evolving family of plane curves having the normal velocity speed of the form (1) can be often found in various applied problems, for example, dynamics of phase boundaries in thermomechanics, material science (motion of bipolar loops [1, 2]), image, and movie processing in computer vision theory (see, for example, [3]). For a comprehensive overview of industrial applications of the geometric equation having the form of (1), we refer to a book by Sethian [4].
We analyze a system of governing PDEs for geometric quantities and propose a numerical method for computing the mean curvature flow of plane closed curves with nontrivial tangential redistribution of points along evolving curves. We focus on a class of so-called curvature adjusted tangential velocities for which the tangential velocity depends on the function of curvature and its average along the curve. Following [5], the tangential speed is constructed as a linear combination of the asymptotic uniform tangential redistribution developed in [6] and the tangential velocity extracted from the crystalline curvature flow equations by the second author in [7]. Using the theory of abstract parabolic equations due to Angenent $[8,9]$ we show local existence and uniqueness of a classical smooth solution to the system of governing equations. We furthermore propose a numerical approximation scheme on the basis of the flowing finite volume method. We present several computational examples. In contrast to the simplified numerical algorithm proposed in [10] (see also [5]), the curvature and tangent angle are not calculated from the position vector, but the parabolic equations for the curvature and tangent angle are solved separately. Such an approach yields a stable and robust numerical approximation scheme derived by Mikula and the first author in $[6,11,12]$ for the case of the so-called (asymptotically) uniform tangential redistribution. Recently, a higher

[^0]order discretization scheme involving asymptotically uniform tangential redistribution has been proposed and analyzed by Mikula and Balažovjech in [13].
An embedded closed plane Jordan curve $\Gamma$ can be parameterized by a smooth function $\boldsymbol{x}: \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z} \supset[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that $\Gamma=\operatorname{Image}(\boldsymbol{x})=\{\boldsymbol{x}(u) ; u \in[0,1]\}$ and $\left|\partial_{u} \boldsymbol{x}\right|>0$. We denote $\partial_{\xi} \mathrm{F}=\partial \mathrm{F} / \partial \xi$ and $|\boldsymbol{a}|=\sqrt{\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}}$, where $\boldsymbol{a} . \boldsymbol{b}$ is the Euclidean inner product between vectors $\boldsymbol{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}$. The unit tangent vector is $\boldsymbol{T}=\partial_{u} \boldsymbol{x} /\left|\partial_{u} \boldsymbol{X}\right|=\partial_{s} \boldsymbol{x}$, where $s$ is the arc-length parameter $d s=\left|\partial_{u} \boldsymbol{x}\right| \mathrm{du}$, and the unit inward normal vector is uniquely determined through the relation $\operatorname{det}(\boldsymbol{T}, \boldsymbol{N})=1$. A signed curvature in the direction $\boldsymbol{N}$ is denoted by $k$. That is, $k=\operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{s} \boldsymbol{x}, \partial_{s}^{2} \boldsymbol{x}\right)$. Let $v$ be the angle of $\boldsymbol{T}$, that is, $\boldsymbol{T}=(\cos v, \sin v)$ and $\boldsymbol{N}=(-\sin v, \cos v)$. The problem of evolution of plane curves can be formulated as follows: given an initial curve $\Gamma^{0}=\operatorname{Image}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{0}\right)$, find a $t$-parameterized family of plane curves $\left\{\Gamma^{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}, \Gamma^{t}=\{\boldsymbol{x}(u, t) ; u \in[0,1]\}$ starting from $\Gamma^{0}$ and evolving according to the normal velocity $v=\beta(\boldsymbol{x}, k, v)$. We follow the so-called direct approach in which we describe evolution of plane curves by an evolution equation for the position vector $\boldsymbol{x}: \partial_{\mathrm{t}} \boldsymbol{x}=\beta \boldsymbol{N}+\alpha \boldsymbol{T}, \boldsymbol{x}(., 0)=\boldsymbol{x}^{0}($.$) . Here, \alpha$ is the tangential component of the velocity vector. Note that $\alpha$ has no effect on the shape of evolving closed curves, and the shape is determined by the value of the normal velocity $\beta$ only. Hence, the simplest setting $\alpha \equiv 0$ can be chosen. Dziuk [14] studied a numerical scheme for $\beta=k$ in this case. For general $\beta$, however, such a choice of $\alpha$ may lead to numerical instabilities caused by undesirable concentration of grid points. To obtain stable numerical computation, several nontrivial choices of $\alpha$ have been proposed. In [15], Kimura proposed a redistribution scheme in the case $\beta=k$ by choosing tangential velocity $\alpha$ such that $\left|\partial_{u} \boldsymbol{x}(u, t)\right|=L^{t}$, where $L^{t}=\int_{\Gamma^{t}} d s$ is the total length of $\Gamma^{t}$. Hou, Lowengrub, and Shelley [16] derived the tangentail velocity (10) with $\varphi \equiv 1$ and $\omega \equiv 0$ (see Section 2). They also mentioned (10) for general $\varphi$ with $\omega \equiv 0$. But they did not make explicit comments on the importance of redistribution of grid points. Another choice of tangential velocity $\alpha=-\partial_{u}\left(\left|\partial_{u} \boldsymbol{X}\right|^{-1}\right)$ for the case $\beta=k$ has been proposed by Deckelnick [17]. In [11], Mikula and the first author derived (10) with $\varphi \equiv 1$ and $\omega \equiv 0$ in general frame work of the so-called intrinsic heat equation for $\beta=\beta(k, v)$. Moreover, in [6] and [12], they proposed a method of asymptotically uniform redistribution for $\beta=\beta(\boldsymbol{x}, k, v)$. Besides the aforementioned uniform distribution methods, under the so-called crystalline curvature flow, grid points are not uniformly distributed but their redistribution takes into account variations in the curvature. The second author extracted the tangential velocity $\alpha=-\partial_{s} \beta / k$, which is implicitly built in the crystalline curvature flow equation [7], or the crystalline algorithm [18]. The asymptotically uniform redistribution is quite effective and valid for a wide range of applications. However, from a numerical point of view, there is no reason to take uniform redistribution automatically. Hence, a new way of curvature adjusted tangential redistribution can be considered to take into account the shape of evolved curves and variations in the modulus of the curvature.

In this paper, we furthermore analyze qualitative and quantitative properties of nonlocal geometric flows in which the normal velocity $v=\beta$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(\boldsymbol{x}, k, v) \equiv \tilde{\beta}(\boldsymbol{x}, k, v)+\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\beta}(\boldsymbol{x}, k, v)$ is a local part of the normal velocity of an evolving curve $\Gamma$ at a point $\boldsymbol{x}$ locally depending on the curvature $k$ and tangent angle $v$ at position $\boldsymbol{x}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma} \in \mathbb{R}$ is a nonlocal part of the normal velocity at $\boldsymbol{x}$ depending on the entire shape of the curve $\Gamma$. Typically, $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}$ is a function depending on the total length $L$, the enclosed area $A$, and the total elastic energy $\mathcal{E}=\int_{\Gamma} k^{2}$ ds of a curve $\Gamma$, that is, $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}=\mathcal{F}(L, A, \mathcal{E})$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we investigate the system of governing partial differential equations for relevant geometric quantities. We derive a tangential velocity taking into account variations in the modulus of the curvature along evolving curves. In Section 3, we prove, locally in time, the existence and uniqueness of classical smooth solutions. In Section 4, we discuss the relationship between crystalline curvature adjusted tangential velocity and affine scale space evolution of planar curves studied by Angenent, Sapiro, and Tannenbaum in [19]. We show that the tangential velocity implicitly incorporated in the affine intrinsic heat equation is the same as the one present in the crystalline curvature motion. In Section 5, we discuss several interesting examples of geometric flows in which the normal velocity depends on the total length and the enclosed area. A special attention is put on analysis of the gradient flow for the isoperimetric ratio. A numerical approximation scheme based on the flowing finite volume method is presented in Section 6 together with computational examples (Section 7).

## 2. System of governing equations and curvature adjusted tangential redistribution

In what follows, we shall assume $\beta_{k}^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{x}, k, v)>0$. Then, we can express the normal velocity $\beta$ in the following form:

$$
\beta=w(\boldsymbol{x}, k, v) k+F(\boldsymbol{x}, v)
$$

where $w(\boldsymbol{x}, k, v)>0$ and $F(\boldsymbol{x}, v)=\beta(\boldsymbol{x}, 0, v)$. By $\beta_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime} \beta_{v}^{\prime}$, and $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \beta$, we denote partial derivatives of $\beta$ with respect to $k$ and $v$ and $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \beta=\left(\partial_{x_{1}} \beta, \partial_{x_{2}} \beta\right)^{T}$ for $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)^{T}$.

According to [6] (see also [11,12]), one can derive a system of PDEs governing evolution of plane curves satisfying $\partial_{t} \boldsymbol{x}=\beta \boldsymbol{N}+\alpha \boldsymbol{T}$. It is easy to check the following facts. Indeed, it follows from the transformation $\partial_{u} F=g \partial_{s} F\left(g=\left|\partial_{u} \boldsymbol{x}\right|\right.$ is the so-called local length), Frenet's formulae $\partial_{s} \boldsymbol{T}=k \boldsymbol{N}, \partial_{s} \boldsymbol{N}=-k \boldsymbol{T}$, commutation relation $\partial_{t} \partial_{s}-\partial_{s} \partial_{t}=\left(\beta k-\partial_{s} \alpha\right) \partial_{s}$, expression for the curvature $k=\operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{s} \boldsymbol{x}, \partial_{s}^{2} \boldsymbol{x}\right)$, tangent angle $(\cos \nu, \sin \nu)=\partial_{s} \boldsymbol{x}$ that the curvature $k$, tangent angle $v$, local length $g$, and position vector $\boldsymbol{x}$ satisfy the following system of PDEs:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{t} k=\partial_{s}^{2} \beta+\alpha \partial_{s} k+k^{2} \beta,  \tag{3}\\
& \partial_{t} \nu=\beta_{k}^{\prime} \partial_{s}^{2} v+\left(\alpha+\beta_{v}^{\prime}\right) \partial_{s} \nu+\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \beta \cdot \boldsymbol{T},  \tag{4}\\
& \partial_{t} g=\left(-k \beta+\partial_{s} \alpha\right) g,  \tag{5}\\
& \partial_{t} \boldsymbol{x}=w \partial_{s}^{2} \boldsymbol{x}+\alpha \partial_{s} \boldsymbol{x}+F \boldsymbol{N}, \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

for $u \in[0,1]$ and $t>0$. A solution $(k, v, g, \boldsymbol{x})$ to (3)-(6) is subject to the initial condition $k(., 0)=k_{0}(),. v(., 0)=v_{0}(),. g(., 0)=$ $g_{0}(),. \boldsymbol{x}(., 0)=\boldsymbol{x}_{0}($.$) corresponding to the initial curve \Gamma^{0}=\operatorname{Image}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)$ and periodic boundary conditions for $g, k, \boldsymbol{x}$ for $u \in[0,1] \subset \mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$ and $\nu(1, t)=v(0, t)+2 \pi$, that is, $\nu(0, t) \equiv \nu(1, t) \bmod 2 \pi$.

In what follows, we shall specify the tangential velocity function $\alpha$ by taking into account the curvature variation with respect to the averaged function of the curvature. First, for the total length $L^{t}=\int_{\Gamma^{t}} \mathrm{~d} s=\int_{0}^{1} g(u, t) \mathrm{d} u$ of a curve $\Gamma^{t}$ evolving in the normal direction with the speed $\beta$, we have the following identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} L^{t}+\int_{\Gamma^{t}} k \beta \mathrm{~d} s=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see, for example, [11]). Recall that the so-called relative local length $\mathrm{r}(u, t)=g(u, t) / L^{t}$ plays an important role in controlling redistribution of grid points (cf. [11]). Let $T>0$ be the maximal time of existence of a solution. If $r(u, t) \rightarrow 1$ uniformly w.r. to $u$ as $t \rightarrow T$, then redistribution of grid points becomes asymptotically uniform. In general, the uniform redistribution can stabilize numerical computation, and it has no effect on the shape of evolved closed plane curves. Neither uniform nor asymptotically uniform redistribution take into account variations in the curvature along evolved curves. Therefore, a natural question arises: how to redistribute grid points densely (sparsely) on sub-arcs where the modulus of the curvature is large (small) with respect to its averaged value. To answer this question, we shall define the so-called $\varphi$-adjusted relative local length quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{r}_{\varphi}(u, t)=\mathrm{r}(u, t) \frac{\varphi(k(u, t))}{\langle\varphi(k(., t))\rangle} \equiv \frac{g(u, t)}{L^{t}} \frac{\varphi(k(u, t))}{\langle\varphi(k(., t))\rangle} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle\mathrm{F}(., t)\rangle=\left(1 / L^{t}\right) \int_{\Gamma^{t}} \mathrm{~F}(s, t) \mathrm{ds}$ is the arc-length average of a quantity F . Throughout the paper, we will assume that the function $\varphi(k)$ is smooth and strictly positive, that is, $\varphi(k)>0$. As for the computational experiments discussed in Section 7, we shall consider $\varphi(k)=1-\varepsilon+\varepsilon \sqrt{1-\varepsilon+\varepsilon k^{2}}$ for $\varepsilon \in[0,1)$. Notice that $\varphi(k) \rightarrow 1$ if $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}, \varphi(k) \rightarrow|k|$ if $\varepsilon \rightarrow 1^{-}$. Other choices of $\varphi$ are also possible. For example, $\varphi(k)=\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2}+|k|^{2 m}}, m>0$. In $[6,11,12]$, the constant function $\varphi(k) \equiv 1$ has been utilized to construct (asymptotically) uniform tangential redistribution. On the other hand, the function $\varphi(k)=|k|$ is implicitly built in the crystalline curvature flow as it was pointed out in [7]. The desired $\varphi$-adjusted asymptotic uniform redistribution can be obtained by choosing $\alpha$ in such a way that $\theta(u, t):=\ln r_{\varphi}(u, t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow T$. To this end, let us suppose that $\theta(u, t)$ satisfies the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(u, t)=\ln \left(\left(e^{\theta(u, 0)}-1\right) e^{-\int_{0}^{t} \omega(\tau) d \tau}+1\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to [6, 12], the shape function $\omega$ can be constructed in the form $\omega=\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}\langle k \beta\rangle$, where $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2} \geq 0$ are given constants. With regard to (7), we have $\omega=\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{2} \partial_{t} \ln L^{t}$. In the case when the time of existence $T<\infty$ is finite and evolved curves shrinks to a point, that is, $L^{t} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow T$, we can take $\kappa_{1}=0$ and $\kappa_{2}>0$. On the other hand, if $T=\infty$, we can take $\kappa_{1}>0$ and $\kappa_{2}=0$. In both cases, we have $\int_{0}^{T} \omega(t) \mathrm{d} t=+\infty$ and the convergence $\lim _{t \rightarrow T} \theta(u, t)=0$ is guaranteed. Setting $\kappa_{1}=\kappa_{2}=0$, we conclude $\theta(u, t)$ is constant w.r. to the time $t$ yielding thus uniform tangential redistribution (cf. [6]).

Taking into account governing Eqs (3)-(6), definition of the $\varphi$-adjusted relative local length (8), we obtain, after straightforward calculations, that $\theta(u, t):=\ln r_{\varphi}(u, t)$ satisfies Eq. (9) if the tangential velocity $\alpha$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial_{s}(\varphi \alpha)}{\varphi}=\frac{f}{\varphi}-\frac{\langle f\rangle}{\langle\varphi\rangle}+\omega(t)\left(r_{\varphi}^{-1}-1\right), \quad f=\varphi k \beta-\left(\partial_{s}^{2} \beta+k^{2} \beta\right) \varphi_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi=\varphi(k)$ and $\varphi_{k}^{\prime}=\partial_{k} \varphi(k)$. To construct a unique solution $\alpha$, we assume the renormalization condition $\langle\varphi(k) \alpha\rangle=0$, that is, $\int_{\Gamma} \varphi(k) \alpha d s=0$.

## 3. Local existence and uniqueness of classical solutions

In this section, by following the ideas adopted from [12] (see also [ 6,11$]$ ), we shall prove a local time existence, uniqueness, and continuation of a classical smooth solution to the governing system of Eqs (3)-(6). However, we have to rewrite the system (3)-(6) into its equivalent form that does not explicitly contains the derivative $\partial_{s} \alpha$ of the tangential velocity appearing in Eq. (5) for the local length $g$. Its presence in (5) is a technical obstacle in a direct application of the general result [12, Theorem 5.1] on existence and uniqueness of solutions to (3)-(6). To this end, we note that the auxiliary function $\theta=\theta(u, t)$ given by Eq. (9) is a solution to the ODE:

$$
\frac{d \theta}{d t}=\left(1-e^{-\theta}\right) \omega(t)
$$

where $\omega=\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}\langle k \beta\rangle$. Therefore, the function $r_{\varphi}=e^{\theta}$ satisfies the ODE $\partial_{t} r_{\varphi}=\left(r_{\varphi}-1\right) \omega(t)$. With this replacement, we obtain the following system of PDEs:

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} k & =\partial_{s}^{2} \beta+\alpha \partial_{s} k+k^{2} \beta  \tag{11}\\
\partial_{t} v & =\beta_{k}^{\prime} \partial_{s}^{2} v+\left(\alpha+\beta_{v}^{\prime}\right) \partial_{s} v+\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \beta . \boldsymbol{T}  \tag{12}\\
\partial_{t} r_{\varphi} & =\left(r_{\varphi}-1\right)\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}\langle k \beta\rangle\right)  \tag{13}\\
\partial_{t} \boldsymbol{x} & =w \partial_{s}^{2} \boldsymbol{x}+\alpha \partial_{s} \boldsymbol{x}+F \boldsymbol{N}, \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

which is equivalent to the system (3)-(6). Indeed, because

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{r}_{\varphi}=\mathrm{r} \frac{\varphi(k)}{\langle\varphi(k)\rangle}=\frac{g}{L} \frac{\varphi(k)}{\langle\varphi(k)\rangle} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can express differentials $\partial_{s}$ and $d s$ in terms of $\partial_{u}$ and du as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial s}=\frac{1}{g} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}=\frac{\varphi(k)}{L\langle\varphi(k)\rangle} \frac{1}{\mathrm{r}_{\varphi}} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{d} s=\frac{L\langle\varphi(k)\rangle}{\varphi(k)} \mathrm{r}_{\varphi} \mathrm{d} u \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

A solution $\Phi=\left(k, \nu, r_{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{x}\right)$ to the system of PDEs (11)-(14) is subject to the initial condition $\Phi(., 0)=\Phi_{0}$ corresponding to the initial curve $\Gamma_{0}=\operatorname{Image}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)$.
In what follows, we recall key ideas of the abstract theory of nonlinear analytic semigroups developed by Angenent [9, Theorem 2.7]. By means of this theory, we will be able to prove local existence and uniqueness of smooth solutions to the system of governing Eqs (11)-(14) that can be rewritten as an abstract nonlinear equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \Phi=\mathcal{G}(\Phi), \quad \Phi(0)=\Phi_{0} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{G}(\Phi)=G(\Phi, \alpha(\Phi))$ and $G(\Phi, \alpha)$ is the right-hand side of (11)-(14) with $\alpha=\alpha(\Phi)$ being the unique solution to Eq. (10) satisfying the renormalization condition $\langle\varphi(k) \alpha\rangle=0$.

Now, suppose that the Fréchet derivative $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}(\bar{\Phi}) \in \mathcal{L}\left(E_{1}, E_{0}\right)$ belongs to the so-called maximal regularity class $\mathcal{M}_{1}\left(E_{0}, E_{1}\right)$ for any $\bar{\Phi} \in \mathcal{O}_{1}$, where $\mathcal{O}_{1}$ is an open neighborhood of the initial condition $\Phi_{0}$ (cf. [9]). Here, $E_{0}$ and $E_{1}$ are Banach spaces; $E_{1}$ is densely embedded in $E_{0}$. Then, it follows from [9, Theorem 2.7] that the abstract initial value problem (17) has a unique solution $\Phi \in Y^{(T)} \equiv C\left([0, T], E_{1}\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0, T], E_{0}\right)$ on some small enough time interval $[0, T]$. A maximal regularity class $\mathcal{M}_{1}\left(E_{0}, E_{1}\right) \subset \mathcal{L}\left(E_{1}, E_{0}\right)$ consists of those generators of analytic semigroups $A: D(A)=E_{1} \subset E_{0} \rightarrow E_{0}$ for which the linear equation $\partial_{t} \Phi=A \Phi+h(t), t \in(0,1]$, $\Phi(0)=\Phi_{0}$ has a unique solution $\Phi \in Y^{(1)}$ for any $h \in C\left([0,1], E_{0}\right)$ and $\Phi_{0} \in E_{1}$. The pair $\left(E_{0}, E_{1}\right)$ is the so-called maximal regularity pair. It was also pointed out by Angenent in [9] (see also [8]) that the pair ( $c^{\varrho}, c^{2+\varrho}$ ) of the so-called little Hölder spaces is the maximal regularity pair for the second-order differential operator $A=-\partial_{u}^{2}$ subject to periodic boundary conditions at $u=0,1$. The 'little' Hölder space $c^{2 \mu+\varrho}=c^{2 \mu+\varrho}\left(S^{1}\right)$ with $0<\varrho<1$ and $\mu=0,1 / 2,1$ is the closure of $C^{\infty}\left(S^{1}\right)$ in the topology of the Hölder space $C^{2 \mu+\varrho}\left(S^{1}\right)$ (see [8]). It means $A \in \mathcal{M}_{1}\left(c^{\varrho}, c^{2+\varrho}\right)$. To apply the abstract existence result [9, Theorem 2.7], we define the following scale of Banach space

$$
E_{\mu}=c^{2 \mu+\varrho} \times c_{*}^{2 \mu+\varrho} \times c^{1+\varrho} \times\left(c^{2 \mu+\varrho}\right)^{2} \quad \text { for } \mu=0,1 / 2,1
$$

By $c_{*}^{2 \mu+\varrho}\left(S^{1}\right)$, we denoted the Banach manifold $c_{*}^{2 \mu+\varrho}\left(S^{1}\right)=\left\{v: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \boldsymbol{T}=(\cos v, \sin v) \in\left(c^{2 \mu+\varrho}\left(S^{1}\right)\right)^{2}\right\}$. In other words, it is the space of all tangent angles $\nu \in c^{2 \mu+\varrho}$ satisfying the periodic boundary condition $\nu(0) \equiv \nu(1) \bmod 2 \pi$.

Henceforth, we shall assume $\varphi=\varphi(k)$ and $\beta=\beta(\boldsymbol{x}, k, v)$ are at least $C^{3}$ smooth functions such that $\varphi(k)>0$ and $\beta$ is a $2 \pi$-periodic function in the $v$ variable. Furthermore, by $\mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{2}} \subset E_{\frac{1}{2}}$, we shall denote a bounded open subset such that $\mathbf{r}_{\varphi}>0$ for any $\left(k, \nu, r_{\varphi}, \mathbf{x}\right) \in \mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{2}}$.

## Lemma 1

Let $\alpha=\alpha(\Phi)$ be the tangential velocity function given as a unique solution to (10) satisfying the renormalization condition $\langle\varphi(k) \alpha\rangle=0$, where $\Phi=\left(k, v, r_{\varphi}, x\right) \in \mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then, $\alpha \in C^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{2}}, c^{\varrho}\left(S^{1}\right)\right)$.

## Proof

The term $f=\varphi k \beta-\left(\partial_{s}^{2} \beta+k^{2} \beta\right) \varphi_{k}^{\prime}$ appearing in the definition (10) of $\alpha$ can be decomposed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=f_{0}-\partial_{s} f_{1}, \quad \text { where } f_{0}=\varphi k \beta-k^{2} \beta \varphi_{k}^{\prime}+\left(\partial_{s} \beta\right)\left(\partial_{s} k\right) \varphi_{k k}^{\prime \prime} \quad f_{1}=\left(\partial_{s} \beta\right) \varphi_{k}^{\prime} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming $\varphi(k)>0$ and $\beta=\beta(\boldsymbol{x}, k, v)$ are $C^{3}$ smooth functions, we conclude $f_{0}=f_{0}(\Phi), f_{1}=f_{1}(\Phi) \in C^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{2}}, c^{\varrho}\left(S^{1}\right)\right)$. Because any averaged quantity (e.g., $\langle\varphi(k)\rangle,\langle\varphi k \beta\rangle,\langle k \beta\rangle,\langle f\rangle)$ is constant in the arc-length variable $s$, it belongs to the class $C^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{2}}, c^{\varrho}\left(S^{1}\right)\right.$ ). From (10), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{s}\left(\varphi \alpha+f_{1}\right)=f_{0}-\frac{\langle f\rangle}{\langle\varphi\rangle} \varphi+\left(\mathrm{r}_{\varphi}^{-1}-1\right) \omega \varphi \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $\varphi \alpha+f_{1} \in C^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{2}}, c^{1+\varrho}\left(S^{1}\right)\right)$. Because $\varphi(k)>0$ and $f_{1} \in C^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{2}}, c^{\varrho}\left(S^{1}\right)\right)$, we conclude $\alpha \in C^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{2}}, c^{\varrho}\left(S^{1}\right)\right)$, as claimed.

## Remark 1

In $[6,11]$, the authors proved that for the (asymptotically) uniform tangential velocity, we have $\alpha \in C^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{2}}, c^{2+\varrho}\left(S^{1}\right)\right)$, that is, $\alpha(\Phi) \in c^{2+\varrho}\left(S^{1}\right)$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{2}} \subset E_{\frac{1}{2}}$. Indeed, in this case, $\varphi$ is constant and therefore $f_{1} \equiv 0, f_{0} \equiv k \beta \in C^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{2}}, c^{1+\varrho}\left(S^{1}\right)\right)$. Such a higher smoothness was needed because the authors applied the abstract result [9, Theorem 2.7] to the original system of Eqs (3)-(6). Hence, to treat Eq. (5) for $g \in c^{1+\varrho}$, the tangential velocity $\alpha$ should belong to the class $c^{2+\varrho}\left(S^{1}\right)$.

Now, we are in a position to state the main result of this section regarding existence, uniqueness, and continuation of a smooth solution to the initial value problem (11)-(14).

## Theorem 1

Assume $\Phi_{0}=\left(k_{0}, \nu_{0}, r_{\varphi 0}, \boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right) \in E_{1}$, where $k_{0}$ is the curvature, $\nu_{0}$ is the tangential vector, and $r_{\varphi 0}>0$ is the $\varphi$-adjusted relative local length of an initial regular curve $\Gamma^{0}=\operatorname{Image}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)$. Assume $\varphi(k)>0$ and $\beta \equiv \tilde{\beta}(\boldsymbol{x}, k, v)+\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}$, where $\tilde{\beta}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are $C^{3}$ smooth functions of their arguments such that $\tilde{\beta}$ is a $2 \pi$-periodic function in the $v$ variable and $\min _{\Gamma_{0}} \tilde{\beta}_{k}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, k_{0}, \nu_{0}\right)>0$. The nonlocal part of the normal velocity $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}$ is assumed to be a $C^{1}$ smooth function from a neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{2}} \subset E_{\frac{1}{2}}$ of $\Phi_{0}$ into $\mathbb{R}$, that is, $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma} \in C^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbb{R}\right)$.

Then, there exists a unique solution $\Phi=\left(k, v, r_{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{x}\right) \in C\left([0, T], E_{1}\right) \cap C^{1}\left([0, T], E_{0}\right)$ of the governing system of Eqs (11)-(14) defined on some time interval $[0, T], T>0$.

## Proof

Because $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}$ is a real valued nonlocal functional, $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}: \mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have $\partial_{s} \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}=0$. Thus, $\partial_{s} \beta=\partial_{s} \tilde{\beta}$. Similarly, as in the proof of [6, Theorem 5.1], we first rewrite Eq. (11) for the curvature in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} k=\partial_{s}\left(\tilde{\beta}_{k}^{\prime} \partial_{s} k\right)+\partial_{s}\left(\tilde{\beta}_{v}^{\prime} k\right)+\partial_{s}\left(\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \tilde{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}\right)+\alpha \partial_{s} k+k^{2} \beta . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, we have used the relations $\partial_{s} v=k$ and $\partial_{s} \tilde{\beta}=\tilde{\beta}_{k}^{\prime} \partial_{s} k+\tilde{\beta}_{v}^{\prime} k+\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \tilde{\beta} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}$, where $\boldsymbol{T}=(\cos v, \sin v), \boldsymbol{N}=(-\sin v, \cos v)$. Furthermore, using Frenet formulae $k \boldsymbol{N}=\partial_{s} \boldsymbol{T}=\partial_{s}^{2} \boldsymbol{x}$, we can rewrite the position vector equation as follows:

$$
\partial_{t} \boldsymbol{x}=\partial_{s}^{2} \boldsymbol{x}+c \boldsymbol{N}+\alpha \boldsymbol{T},
$$

where $c(\boldsymbol{x}, k, v) \equiv \beta(\boldsymbol{x}, k, v)-k$. This 'cheap trick' enables us to utilize the parabolic smoothing effect of the parabolic equation for $\boldsymbol{x}$ when we compared it with an argument based only on the analysis of solutions to the ODE $\partial_{t} \boldsymbol{x}=\beta \boldsymbol{N}+\alpha \boldsymbol{T}$ having not enough smoothness such as $\alpha(.) \in c^{\varrho}\left(S^{1}\right)$.

There exists an open neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_{1} \subset E_{1}$ of $\Phi_{0}$ such that $\Phi_{0} \in \mathcal{O}_{1}$, and $\mathrm{r}_{\varphi}>0, \tilde{\beta}_{k}^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{x}, k, v)>0$ for any $\left(k, v, r_{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{x}\right) \in \mathcal{O}_{1}$. Then, the mapping $\mathcal{G}$ is a $C^{1}$ smooth mapping from $\mathcal{O}_{1} \subset E_{1}$ into $E_{0}$. Its linearization $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$ at $\bar{\Phi}=\left(\bar{k}, \bar{v}, \overline{\mathbf{r}}_{\varphi}, \overline{\mathbf{x}}\right) \in \mathcal{O}_{1}$ has the form

$$
A=\mathcal{G}^{\prime}(\bar{\Phi})=A_{1}+A_{2}, \quad \text { where } A_{1}=\bar{D} \partial_{u}^{2}, \quad A_{2}=\bar{B} \partial_{u}+\bar{C}
$$

Here, $\bar{D}$ is a diagonal matrix, $\bar{D}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\bar{D}_{11}, \bar{D}_{22}, \bar{D}_{33}, \bar{D}_{44}, \bar{D}_{55}\right)$. The coefficients of $\bar{D}$ satisfy $\bar{D}_{i i} \in c^{1+\varrho}\left(S^{1}\right), \bar{D}_{11}=\bar{D}_{22}=\bar{g}^{-2} \tilde{\beta}_{k}^{\prime}(\bar{x}, \bar{k}, \bar{v})$, $\bar{D}_{33}=0, \bar{D}_{44}=\bar{D}_{55}=\bar{g}^{-2} \in c^{1+\varrho}\left(S^{1}\right)$, where $\bar{g}$ is given by (15), that is, $\bar{g}=\bar{r}_{\varphi} \bar{L}\langle\varphi(\bar{k})\rangle / \varphi(\bar{k})$. The coefficients $\bar{B}_{i j}, \bar{C}_{i j}$ of $5 \times 5$ matrices $\bar{B}, \bar{C}$ correspond to the first and 0 th order derivative terms in the second-order linear differential operator $A=\mathcal{G}^{\prime}(\bar{\Phi})$.

Let $\mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{2}} \subset E_{\frac{1}{2}}$ be an open subset in $E_{\frac{1}{2}}$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{1}=\mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{2}} \cap E_{1} \subset E_{1}$. With regard to Lemma 1 , we have $\alpha \in C^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{2}}, c^{\varrho}\left(S^{1}\right)\right)$. Furthermore, we assumed $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma} \in C^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbb{R}\right)$. As a consequence, we obtain $\bar{B}_{i j}, \bar{C}_{i j} \in c^{\varrho}\left(S^{1}\right)$ for $i, j=1, \cdots, 5$.

For the second-order differential linear operator $A_{1}$, we have $A_{1} \in \mathcal{L}\left(E_{1}, E_{0}\right)$. Moreover, $A_{1}$ is a generator of an analytic semigroup on $E_{0}$ with the domain $D\left(A_{1}\right)=E_{1} \subset E_{0}$. It belongs to the maximal regularity pair $\left(E_{0}, E_{1}\right)$, that is, $A_{1} \in \mathcal{M}_{1}\left(E_{0}, E_{1}\right)$ (see [9]).

Because $A_{2}$ contains differentials of the first-order only, it can be extended to a bounded operator from $E_{\frac{1}{2}} \supset E_{1}$ into $E_{0}$. Because of boundedness of $A_{2} \in \mathcal{L}\left(E_{\frac{1}{2}}, E_{0}\right)$ and taking into account the interpolation inequality between $c^{\varrho}$ and $c^{2+\varrho}$ spaces, we conclude the following inequality:

$$
\left\|A_{2} \Phi\right\|_{E_{0}} \leq C_{0}\|\Phi\|_{E_{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C_{0}\|\Phi\|_{E_{1}}^{1 / 2}\|\Phi\|_{E_{0}}^{1 / 2}
$$

where $C_{0}>0$ is a generic positive constant. Using Young's inequality $a b \leq \varepsilon a^{2}+b^{2} /(4 \varepsilon), \varepsilon>0$, we can conclude that the linear operator $A_{2}$ (now considered as a linear operator from $E_{1}$ into $E_{0}$ ) has the relative zero norm, that is, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a constant $K_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that $\left\|A_{2} \Phi\right\|_{E_{0}} \leq \varepsilon\|\Phi\|_{E_{1}}+K_{\varepsilon}\|\Phi\|_{E_{0}}$ (cf. [20, Section 2.1] and also [9]). By virtue of [9, Lemma 2.5] (see also [20, Theorem 2.1]), the class $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ is closed with respect to perturbations by linear operators with zero relative norm. Thus, $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}(\bar{\Phi}) \in \mathcal{M}_{1}\left(E_{0}, E_{1}\right)$ for any $\bar{\Phi} \in \mathcal{O}_{1}$. The proof of the short time existence of a solution $\Phi$ now follows from the aforementioned abstract result [9, Theorem 2.7].

## Remark 2

The nonlocal quantities $L=\int_{\Gamma} \mathrm{ds}, A=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \operatorname{det}\left(\boldsymbol{x}, \partial_{s} \boldsymbol{x}\right) \mathrm{d} s, \mathcal{E}=\int_{\Gamma} k^{2} \mathrm{ds}$ are $C^{1}$ mappings from a neighborhood $\mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ into $\mathbb{R}$. Indeed, as

$$
L=\int_{0}^{1}\left|\partial_{u} \boldsymbol{x}\right| \mathrm{d} u, \quad A=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \operatorname{det}\left(\boldsymbol{x}, \partial_{u} \boldsymbol{x}\right) \mathrm{d} u, \quad \mathcal{E}=\int_{0}^{1} k^{2}\left|\partial_{u} \boldsymbol{x}\right| \mathrm{d} u,
$$

we have $L, A, \mathcal{E} \in C^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbb{R}\right)$. For the nonlocal term, we conclude $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma} \in C^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ provided that $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}=\mathcal{F}(L, A, \mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{F}(L, A, \mathcal{E})$ is a $C^{1}$ smooth function of its arguments $L, A, \mathcal{E}$.

Let us introduce the $C^{0}$ and $C^{1}$ norms of a quantity $\mathrm{F}: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined on a smooth curve $\Gamma$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|F\|_{0, \Gamma}=\max _{\Gamma}|\mathrm{F}|, \quad\|F\|_{1, \Gamma}=\max _{\Gamma}\left(|\mathrm{F}|+\left|\partial_{5} \mathrm{~F}\right|\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Theorem 2

Let $\Gamma^{t}, t \geq 0$, be a family of planar curves evolving in the normal direction with the velocity $\beta$ for which short time existence of smooth solutions is guaranteed by Theorem 1 . Suppose that $\Gamma^{t}, 0 \leq t<T_{\text {max }}$, is a maximal solution defined on the maximal time interval $\left[0, T_{\max }\right)$. If $T_{\max }<+\infty$, then either $\|k\|_{0, \Gamma^{t}}+\|\beta\|_{0, \Gamma^{t}} \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow T_{\text {max }}$ or $\sup _{0 \leq t<T_{\max }}\left(\|k\|_{0, \Gamma^{t}}+\|\beta\|_{0, \Gamma^{t}}\right)<\infty$ and, in this case, $\lim \inf _{t \rightarrow T_{\max }^{-}} \min _{\Gamma^{t}} \tilde{\beta}_{k}^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{x}, k, v)=0$.

## Proof

Similarly as in [8, Theorem 3.1], we can argue by a contradiction. Suppose, to the contrary, that the sum $\|k\|_{0, \Gamma^{t}}+\|\beta\|_{0, \Gamma^{t}}$ of $C^{0}$ norms is bounded on $\left[0, T_{\max }\right)$ for $T_{\max }<+\infty$ and $\lim \inf _{t \rightarrow T_{\max }^{-}} \min _{\Gamma^{t}} \beta_{k}^{\prime}>0$. Following the continuation argument due to Gage [21] and Angenent [8], we shall prove that there exists a limiting curve $\Gamma^{T_{\max }}=\operatorname{Image}\left(\boldsymbol{x}\left(., T_{\max }\right)\right)$, where the $\boldsymbol{x}\left(u, T_{\max }\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{\max }} \boldsymbol{x}(u, t)$ uniformly w.r. to $u \in[0,1]$. Because the tangential velocity $\alpha$ has no impact on the shape of $\Gamma^{t}$ and the existence of the limiting curve $\Gamma^{T_{\max }}$, we can assume $\alpha=0$ in Eq. (20) for the curvature. With regard to the assumption on boundedness of the curvature $k$ and normal velocity $\beta$, we conclude that the reaction term $k^{2} \beta$ in the curvature equation remains uniformly bounded for $u \in[0,1]$ and $0 \leq t<T_{\max }$. The parabolic equation (20) has therefore a smooth solution $k$ up to the limiting time $t=T_{\max }$. Now, it follows from the position vector equation $\partial_{t} \boldsymbol{X}=\beta(\boldsymbol{x}, k, v) \boldsymbol{N}$ and the tangent angle equation (12) that the limiting curve $\Gamma^{T_{\text {max }}}$ exists and is smooth. Moreover, using Eq. (9) and expression $\theta=\ln \mathrm{r}_{\varphi}$ for the $\varphi$-adjusted local length $\mathrm{r}_{\varphi}$, we also conclude the existence of the limit $\lim _{t \rightarrow T_{\max }} \mathrm{r}_{\varphi}(u, t)=\mathrm{r}_{\varphi}\left(u, T_{\max }\right)>0$ uniformly w.r. to $u \in[0,1]$. Starting from the limiting curve $\Gamma^{T_{\max }}$ and using local in time existence result from Theorem 1 , the family of curves $\Gamma^{t}$ can be prolonged to a larger time interval $\left[0, T^{\prime}\right)$ where $T^{\prime}>T_{\max }$. This is a contradiction.

## Remark 3

In general, an estimate for $\|k\|_{0, \Gamma}$ is not sufficient to control behavior of the norm $\|\beta\|_{0, \Gamma}$ and vice versa. Indeed, let us consider, for example, $\beta=k-|\boldsymbol{x}|^{2}$. The self-similar family of circles parameterized by $\boldsymbol{x}(u, t)=R(t)(\cos 2 \pi u, \sin 2 \pi u)^{T}$ evolves with the normal velocity $\beta$ provided that $-\dot{R}(t)=R(t)^{-1}-R(t)^{2}$. If $R(0)>1$, then $T_{\max }<\infty$ and $\|k\|_{0, \Gamma^{t}} \rightarrow 0$ holds but $\|\beta\|_{0, \Gamma^{t}} \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow T_{\text {max }}$. On the other hand, if $\beta=|\boldsymbol{x}|^{\delta} k, 1 \leq \delta<2$, then $\|\beta\|_{0, \Gamma^{t}}$ stays bounded but $\|k\|_{0, \Gamma^{t}} \rightarrow \infty$ holds as $t \rightarrow T_{\text {max }}$. Finally, if $\beta=(|\boldsymbol{x}|-1)^{\delta} k$, $0<\delta<1$, then $-\dot{R}(t)=(R(t)-1)^{\delta} / R(t), R(0)>1$, with $R(t) \rightarrow 1^{+}$as $t \rightarrow T_{\max }<\infty$. Moreover, $\|k\|_{0, \Gamma^{t}}+\|\beta\|_{0, \Gamma^{t}}$ stays bounded for $t \in\left[0, T_{\text {max }}\right)$ but $\lim \inf _{t \rightarrow T_{\text {max }}^{-}} \min _{\Gamma^{t}} \tilde{\beta}_{k}^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{x}, k, v)=0$.

## 4. Affine scale space evolution and crystalline curvature tangential velocity

In the theory of image processing, the so-called morphological image and shape multiscale analysis is often used because of its contrast and affine invariance properties. Affine scale space evolution of closed planar curves has been introduced and studied by Angenent, Sapiro, and Tannenbaum [19, 22] and Alvarez et al. [23]. They derived a geometric equation of the form (1) with the normal velocity given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(k)=k^{\frac{1}{3}} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this section, we will show that the geometric equation (22) representing affine scale space evolution is closely related to the crystalline curvature adjusted tangential velocity. For a wide class of tangential velocities, local existence, uniqueness, and continuation of smooth solutions to the geometric equation (22) has been shown by Mikula and Ševčovič in [11].

To investigate affine scale space evolution, we have to introduce a notion of the so-called affine arc-length parameterization (cf. [22]). Consider a planar closed convex curve $\Gamma$ parameterized by $\boldsymbol{x}$ with the arc-length parameterization $s$, that is, $\Gamma=\{\boldsymbol{x}(s), s \in[0, L]\}$. Such a curve can be re-parameterized by a new parameterization $S$ such that $\Gamma=\{\boldsymbol{X}(S), S \in[0, \mathcal{L}]\}$, where $\boldsymbol{X}(S)=\boldsymbol{x}(s)$ and $d S=\vartheta d s$. Here, $\vartheta \geq 0$ is a nonnegative function defined on the curve $\Gamma$. The parameterization $S$ is called affine arc-length parameterization if

$$
|K| \equiv 1 \quad \text { on } \Gamma, \quad \text { where } K=\operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{S} \boldsymbol{X}, \partial_{S}^{2} \boldsymbol{X}\right)
$$

(cf. [19]). In other words, $S$ is a parameterization for which the modulus of the affine curvature $K$ is constant along the curve $\Gamma$. It is well known (see [22]) that the parameterization $S$ is the affine arc-length if $\vartheta=|k|^{\frac{1}{3}}$. Indeed, because $d S=\vartheta d s$, we have

$$
K=\operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{S} \boldsymbol{X}, \partial_{S}^{2} \boldsymbol{X}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\vartheta^{-1} \partial_{s} \boldsymbol{X}, \vartheta^{-2} \partial_{s}^{2} \boldsymbol{x}-\partial_{s} \vartheta \vartheta^{-3} \partial_{s} \boldsymbol{x}\right)=\vartheta^{-3} \operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{s} \boldsymbol{x}, \partial_{s}^{2} \boldsymbol{x}\right)=\vartheta^{-3} k .
$$

Hence, $|K| \equiv 1$ on $\Gamma$ if $\vartheta=|k|^{\frac{1}{3}}$, that is, $\mathrm{d} S=|k|^{\frac{1}{3}} \mathrm{~d} s$ on $\Gamma$, as claimed.
Having a new parameterization $S$ of a family $\Gamma^{t}=\operatorname{Image}(\boldsymbol{X}(., t))$ of planar curves, we can study evolution of $\Gamma^{t}, t \in[0, T]$, for which the affine intrinsic heat equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} \boldsymbol{X}=\partial_{S}^{2} \boldsymbol{X} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

is fulfilled. Because $\mathrm{d} S=\vartheta \mathrm{d} s$, we have

$$
\partial_{S}^{2} \boldsymbol{X}=\vartheta^{-1} \partial_{s}\left(\vartheta^{-1} \partial_{s} \boldsymbol{x}\right)=\vartheta^{-2} \partial_{s}^{2} \boldsymbol{x}-\frac{\partial_{s} \vartheta}{\vartheta^{3}} \partial_{s} \boldsymbol{x}=\frac{k}{\vartheta^{2}} \boldsymbol{N}-\frac{\partial_{s} \vartheta}{\vartheta^{3}} \boldsymbol{T}
$$

Substituting $\vartheta=|k|^{\frac{1}{3}}$ to the this equation, we obtain the fact that the affine intrinsic heat equation can be rewritten in the form of a geometric equation $\partial_{t} \boldsymbol{X}=\beta \boldsymbol{N}+\alpha \boldsymbol{T}$ with the normal velocity $\beta$ and tangential velocity $\alpha$ given by

$$
\beta=k^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad \alpha=-\frac{\partial_{s} \vartheta}{\vartheta^{3}}=-\frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial_{s} k}{k^{\frac{5}{3}}}=-\frac{\partial_{s} \beta}{k} .
$$

But this is just the tangential velocity $\alpha$ intrinsically built in the crystalline curvature flow (cf. [7]). It corresponds to the curvature adjusted tangential velocity with $\phi(k) \equiv|k|$. This way, we have shown the following proposition.

## Proposition 1

Let $S$ be the affine arc-length parameterization. Then, the solution $\boldsymbol{X}=\boldsymbol{X}(S, t)$ to the affine intrinsic heat equation $\partial_{t} \boldsymbol{X}=\partial_{S}^{2} \boldsymbol{X}$ represents a flow of planar curves with the normal velocity $\beta=k^{\frac{1}{3}}$ and the crystalline curvature adjusted tangential velocity $\alpha=-\frac{\partial_{s} \beta}{k}$.

## Remark 4

Recall that the evolution equation (4) for the tangent angle $v$ can be deduced from the fact $\partial_{t} \nu=\partial_{s} \beta+\alpha k$ (cf. [11]). Therefore, the curvature adjusted tangential velocity $\alpha$ given by $\alpha=-\partial_{s} \beta / k$ corresponds to $\partial_{t} v=0$ (see [7]). Hence, $v=v(u)$ is a function of $u$ variable only. In general, if a solution curve is strictly convex, then the function $v=v(u)$ is invertible because $g^{-1} \partial_{u} \nu=\partial_{s} \nu=k>0$. Therefore, we can reparameterize a convex curve by using the tangent angle $v$ as its new parameterization, that is, $\partial_{s}=k \partial_{\nu}$. Then, $\alpha=-\partial_{s} \beta / k=-\partial_{\nu} \beta$ and $\partial_{s}^{2} \beta=-k^{2} \partial_{\nu} \alpha-\alpha \partial_{s} k$. Now, it follows from Eq. (3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} k=k^{2}\left(\partial_{\nu}^{2} \beta+\beta\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is very useful evolution equation for strictly convex curves expressed in the so-called Gauss-parameterization (see [24] in the case $\beta=k$ ).

## 5. Evolution of plane curves with a nonlocal normal velocity

In this section, we discuss the role and importance of geometric equation (2) with a nonlocal term $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}$ depending on the entire curve $\Gamma$. The normal velocity is given by $\beta(\boldsymbol{x}, k, v) \equiv \tilde{\beta}(\boldsymbol{x}, k, v)+\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}$, where $\tilde{\beta}(\boldsymbol{x}, k, v): \mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a local part of the normal velocity and $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}=\mathcal{F}(L, A, \mathcal{E})$ represents its nonlocal part depending on the global quantities $L, A, \mathcal{E}$ computed over the entire curve.

### 5.1. Enclosed area-preserving and total length-preserving nonlocal flows

Recall the area evolution equation for a flow of embedded closed plane curves driven in normal direction with a normal velocity $\beta$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} A+\int_{\Gamma} \beta \mathrm{d} s=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A=A^{t}$ is the area enclosed by the curve $\Gamma=\Gamma^{t}$ (see, for example, [11]).
Our first example is the enclosed area-preserving flow having the form of (2). Inserting $\beta(\boldsymbol{x}, k, v) \equiv \tilde{\beta}(\boldsymbol{x}, k, v)+\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}$ into (25), we obtain

$$
0=\frac{d}{d t} A+\int_{\Gamma} \beta \mathrm{d} s=\frac{d}{d t} A+\int_{\Gamma} \tilde{\beta} \mathrm{d} s+\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma} \int_{\Gamma} \mathrm{d} s=\int_{\Gamma} \tilde{\beta} \mathrm{d} s+L \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}
$$

Hence, setting $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}=-\langle\tilde{\beta}\rangle=\frac{1}{L} \int_{\Gamma} \tilde{\beta}$ ds where $L=\int_{\Gamma} \mathrm{d} s$, we conclude $\frac{d}{d t} A=0$ for the normal velocity given by $\beta=\tilde{\beta}-\langle\tilde{\beta}\rangle$. Hence, evolution according to such a normal velocity represents the area-preserving flow. The particular case $\tilde{\beta} \equiv k$ has been intensively studied, for example, by Gage [21]. In this case, we have

$$
\beta=k-\langle k\rangle=k-\frac{1}{L} \int_{\Gamma} k \mathrm{~d} s=k-\frac{2 \pi}{L} .
$$

Notice that the flow $\beta=k-\frac{2 \pi}{L}$ is the area-preserving and length decreasing in a suitable metric. Indeed, by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 \pi)^{2}=\left(\int_{\Gamma} k \mathrm{~d} s\right)^{2} \leq \int_{\Gamma} \mathrm{d} s \int_{\Gamma} k^{2} \mathrm{~d} s=L \int_{\Gamma} k^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
\frac{d}{d t} L=-\int_{\Gamma} k \beta \mathrm{~d} s=-\int_{\Gamma} k^{2} \mathrm{~d} s+\frac{2 \pi}{L} \int_{\Gamma} k \mathrm{~d} s=-\int_{\Gamma} k^{2} \mathrm{~d} s+\frac{(2 \pi)^{2}}{L} \leq 0
$$

Moreover, Gage proved that for the area-preserving flow, we have $T_{\max }=\infty$, and $\Gamma^{t}$ approaches a circle provided that the initial curve $\Gamma^{0}$ is convex (see [21, Theorem 4.1]).

The total length-preserving flow represents a dual notion to the area-preserving flow. Our purpose is to construct a nonlocal term $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}$ in such a way that $\partial_{t} L=0$. Using the total length equation (7) with the normal velocity having the form $\beta=\tilde{\beta}+\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}$, we obtain

$$
\frac{d}{d t} L=-\int_{\Gamma^{t}} k \beta \mathrm{~d} s=-\int_{\Gamma} k \tilde{\beta} \mathrm{~d} s-\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma} \int_{\Gamma} k \mathrm{~d} s=-\int_{\Gamma} k \tilde{\beta} \mathrm{~d} s-2 \pi \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}
$$

Therefore, for the normal velocity $\beta$ given by $\beta=\tilde{\beta}-\frac{L\langle k \tilde{\beta}\rangle}{2 \pi}$, we have $\frac{d}{d t} L=0$, that is, the total length-preserving flow. In particular, by choosing the local part of the velocity $\tilde{\beta} \equiv k$, we conclude

$$
\beta=k-\frac{\mathcal{E}}{2 \pi}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}=\int_{\Gamma} k^{2} \mathrm{~d} s$ is the total elastic energy. To emphasize that the length-preserving flow is a dual notion to the area-preserving flow, we note that the flow of curves evolved by the velocity $\beta=k-\frac{\mathcal{E}}{2 \pi}$ preserves the total length and enlarges the enclosed area. Indeed, using the inequality (26), we obtain

$$
\frac{d}{d t} A=-\int_{\Gamma} \beta \mathrm{d} s=-\int_{\Gamma}\left(k-\frac{\mathcal{E}}{2 \pi}\right) \mathrm{d} s=-2 \pi+\frac{L \int_{\Gamma} k^{2} \mathrm{~d} s}{2 \pi} \geq 0
$$

The total length preserving flow of convex curves was investigated recently by Mu and Zhu in [25]. In their analysis, they employed the Gauss parameterization (24) for evolution of convex curves in the plane. By using the arc-length parameterization, we were able to extend the area increasing property for the case of Jordan curves in the plane.

### 5.2. Gradient flow for the isoperimetric ratio

Finally, we analyze a gradient flow for the isoperimetric ratio defined by

$$
\Pi=\frac{L^{2}}{4 \pi A}
$$

Such a flow was first investigated by Jiang and Pan in [26]. Similarly, as Mu and Zhu in [25], they employed the Gauss parameterization (24) for evolution of convex curves and so results of [26] can be applied to convex curves. In what follows, we show that some of their results (e.g., area increasing property) can be directly generalized to the case of evolution of Jordan curves by using the arc-length parameterization. In our approach, we employ the curvature equation (3) to prove convexity preservation as well as area increasing (for Jordan curves) and length decreasing property (for convex curves). Moreover, in Section 7, we present an example of evolution of nonconvex curves with temporal increase of the total length (see Figure 6). Recall the well-known fact $\Pi \geq 1$ for any smooth closed embedded plane curve $\Gamma$ and the strict inequality $\Pi>1$ holds unless $\Gamma$ is a circle. Using relations (7) and (25), we obtain

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \Pi=\frac{L \partial_{t} L}{2 \pi A}-\frac{L^{2} \partial_{t} A}{4 \pi A^{2}}=-\frac{L}{2 \pi A} \int_{\Gamma}\left(k-\frac{L}{2 A}\right) \beta d s
$$

Hence, the flow driven in the normal direction with the normal velocity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=k-\frac{L}{2 A} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

represents a gradient flow for the isoperimetric ratio $\Pi$, that is, $\partial_{t} \Pi<0$ for $\beta \not \equiv 0$. Notice that $\beta$ is a normal velocity containing the nonlocal term $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}=-L /(2 A)$. Furthermore, using the area Eq. (25), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} A=-\int_{\Gamma} \beta \mathrm{d} s=-\int_{\Gamma} k \mathrm{~d} s+\frac{L}{2 A} \int_{\Gamma} \mathrm{d} s=2 \pi(\Pi-1) \geq 0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $\int_{\Gamma} k \mathrm{~d} s=2 \pi, L=\int_{\Gamma} \mathrm{d} s$, and for the isoperimetric ratio, we have $\Pi(\Gamma) \geq 1$. From inequality ( 28 ), we conclude that the enclosed area is nodecreasing with respect to time.

Next, by following the convexity argument due to Gage, we shall prove that evolution of plane Jordan curves with the normal velocity given by (27) preserves convexity, that is, $\Gamma^{t}$ is convex for $t \geq t_{0}$ provided that $\Gamma^{t_{0}}$ is convex. A key point in the convexity preservation argument (cf. Gage [21]) is an application of a parabolic comparison argument. Notice that a curve $\Gamma$ is convex if its curvature $k>0$. In the case $\beta=k+\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}$, Eq. (3) for the curvature has the form

$$
\partial_{t} k=\partial_{s}^{2} k+\alpha \partial_{s} k+k^{2}\left(k+\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}\right)
$$

Let us denote by $K(t)=\min _{\Gamma^{t}} k(., t)$, that is, $K(t)$ is the minimal curvature over the curve $\Gamma^{t}$. Denote by $s^{*}(t) \in\left[0, L^{t}\right]$ the argument of minimum of $k$. It means that $K(t)=k\left(s^{*}(t), t\right)$. Then, $\partial_{s} k\left(s^{*}(t), t\right)=0$ and $\partial_{s}^{2} k\left(s^{*}(t), t\right) \geq 0$. Hence,

$$
K^{\prime}(t) \geq K^{2}(t)\left(K(t)+\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}^{t}\right)
$$

Suppose that $K$ is a solution to this ordinary differential inequality existing on some interval $\left[t_{0}, T\right)$ and such that $K\left(t_{0}\right)>0$. Then, it should be obvious that $K(t)>0$ for $t \in\left[t_{0}, T\right)$ provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{t_{0} \leq t<T} \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}^{t}>-\infty \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove convexity preservation for the isoperimetric ratio gradient flow, it is therefore sufficient to verify that the nonlocal part $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}^{t}=-L^{t} /\left(2 A^{t}\right)$ remains bounded from below for $t \geq t_{0}$. Indeed, as $\beta=k-L /(2 A)$, we obtain

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \frac{L}{A}=\frac{\partial_{t} L}{A}-\frac{L \partial_{t} A}{A^{2}}=-\frac{1}{A} \int_{\Gamma} k \beta \mathrm{~d} s+\frac{L}{A^{2}} \int_{\Gamma} \beta \mathrm{d} s=-\frac{1}{A} \int_{\Gamma} \beta^{2} \mathrm{~d} s+\frac{L}{2 A^{2}} \int_{\Gamma} \beta \mathrm{d} s \leq 0,
$$

because $\int_{\Gamma} \beta \mathrm{d} s=-\partial_{t} A \leq 0$ by (28). Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{L^{t_{0}}}{2 A^{t_{0}}}<-\frac{L^{t}}{2 A^{t}} \leq 0 \quad \text { for any } t \geq t_{0} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so condition (29) is satisfied by the the isoperimetric ratio gradient flow (27).
Another important property of this flow can be deduced from the well-known isoperimetric inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi \frac{L}{A} \leq \int_{\Gamma} k^{2} \mathrm{ds} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

derived by Gage [27] for any convex $C^{2}$ smooth embedded closed curve in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Therefore, if $\Gamma^{t_{0}}$ is convex at some time $t_{0} \geq 0$, then with regard to (7), we obtain

$$
\frac{d}{d t} L^{t}=-\int_{\Gamma^{t}} k \beta \mathrm{~d} s=-\int_{\Gamma^{t}} k^{2} \mathrm{~d} s+\frac{L^{t}}{2 A^{t}} \int_{\Gamma^{t}} k \mathrm{~d} s=-\int_{\Gamma^{t}} k^{2} \mathrm{~d} s+\pi \frac{L^{t}}{A^{t}} \leq 0 \text { at } t=t_{0}
$$

It means that the isoperimetric ratio gradient flow is a curve-shortening flow of evolving convex curves.
Summarizing the result from this subsection, we can conclude the following proposition.

## Proposition 2

A flow of planar embedded closed curves driven in the normal direction by the velocity $\beta=k-\frac{L}{2 A}$ is a gradient flow minimizing the isoperimetric ratio $\Pi=L^{2} /(4 \pi A)$. It is a convexity-preserving flow. It is the enclosed area increasing flow. Finally, it represents the total length decreasing flow for convex curves.

Note that the convexity preservation and length decreasing property have been already established by Jiang and Pan in [26] for evolution of convex curves. Because we have adopted the arc-length parameterization, the area increasing property holds true for evolution of Jordan curves evolved in the normal direction by the velocity (27).

## 6. Numerical scheme

The purpose of this section is to construct a numerical approximation scheme for solving the system of governing Eqs (3)-(6) complemented by the curvature adjusted tangential velocity equation (10) satisfying the renormalization constraint $\langle\varphi \alpha\rangle=0$.

Discretization setting. Given an initial $N$-sided polygonal curve $\mathcal{P}^{0}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{S}_{i}^{0}$, our purpose is to find a family of $N$-sided polygonal curves $\left\{\mathcal{P}^{j}\right\}_{j=1,2, \cdots, \mathcal{P}^{j}}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{S}_{i}^{j}$, where $\mathcal{S}_{i}^{j}=\left[\boldsymbol{x}_{i-1}^{j}, \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{j}\right]$ is the $i$-th edge with $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{j}=\boldsymbol{x}_{N}^{j}$ for $j=0,1,2, \cdots$. The initial polygon $\mathcal{P}^{0}$ is an approximation of $\Gamma^{0}$ satisfying $\left\{\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{0}\right\}_{i=1}^{N} \subset \mathcal{P}^{0} \cap \Gamma^{0}$, and $\mathcal{P}^{j}$ is an approximation of $\Gamma^{t}$ at the time $t=t_{j}$, where $t_{j}=j \tau$ is the $j$-th discrete time level, $j=0,1,2, \cdots$, if we use a fixed time increment $\tau>0$ or $t_{j}=\sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \tau_{l}$ if we use adaptive time increments $\tau_{l}>0, I=0, \cdots, j-1$. The updated curve $\mathcal{P}^{j+1}$ is determined from the data for $\mathcal{P}^{j}$ at the previous time step by using the following numerical discretization in space and time.

Numerical algorithm. A flowchart of the numerical algorithm can be stated as follows. Hereafter, we will use 'func' for a given quantity or function. Note that local quantities $\left\{\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right\},\left\{k_{i}\right\},\left\{p_{i}\right\},\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}$ should satisfy the periodic conditions: func ${ }_{0}=$ func $_{N}$, func $_{N+1}=$ func $_{1}$. As for the tangent angle vector $\left\{\nu_{i}\right\}$, we have $\bmod -2 \pi$ boundary conditions $\nu_{0} \equiv \nu_{N}(\bmod -2 \pi), \nu_{N+1} \equiv \nu_{1}(\bmod -2 \pi)$.
Step 0. Put the initial step $j=0$.
Step 1. Construct the data at the $j$-th time step as follows:
Step 1-1. Using $\left\{\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{j}\right\}$, compute the local quantities $\left\{p_{i}^{j}\right\},\left\{v_{i}^{j}\right\},\left\{k_{i}^{j}\right\}$, nonlocal quantities $L^{j}, A^{j}, \mathcal{E}^{j}$, and $\left\{\beta_{i}^{j}=\tilde{\beta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{j}, v_{i}^{j}, k_{i}^{j}\right)+\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P} j}\right\}$. Step 1-2. Solve Eq. (10) for $\alpha$ and compute $\left\{\alpha_{i}^{j}\right\}$.
Step 2. Compute updated data $\left\{\bar{p}_{i}\right\},\left\{\bar{v}_{i}\right\},\left\{\bar{k}_{i}\right\}, \bar{L}$, as follows:
Step 2-1. Solve Eq. (5) for $g$ and compute $\left\{\bar{p}_{i}\right\}=N g_{i}^{j}, \bar{L}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \bar{p}_{i}$.
Step 2-2. Solve Eq. (3) for $k$ and compute $\left\{\bar{k}_{i}\right\},\langle\operatorname{func}(\bar{k})\rangle$.
Step 2-3. Solve Eq. (4) for $v$ and compute $\left\{\bar{v}_{i}\right\}$.

Step 3. Solve Eq. (6) for the position vector $\boldsymbol{x}$ and compute $\left\{\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{j+1}\right\}$.
Final step. Put $j:=j+1$, go to Step 1 , and compute all updated quantities.
In what follows, we shall explain the aforementioned steps in more details. In the following explanation of Step 1-1 and Step 1-2, we will omit the superscript $j$.

Step 1-1. From the $j$-th step data $\left\{\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{j}\right\}$, we will define local quantities $\left\{p_{i}^{j}\right\},\left\{v_{i}^{j}\right\},\left\{k_{i}^{j}\right\}$, and nonlocal quantities $L^{j}, A^{j},\left\langle\right.$ func $\left.\left(k^{j}\right)\right\rangle, \ldots$. Construct $\left\{p_{i}^{j}\right\}$ and $L^{j}$. The length of $\mathcal{S}_{i}$ is given by $p_{i}=\left|\boldsymbol{x}_{i}-\boldsymbol{x}_{i-1}\right|$. Then, we have the total length of $\mathcal{P}$ such as $L=\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i}$.
Construct $\left\{v_{i}^{j}\right\}$ and $A^{j}$. The $i$-th unit tangent vector $\boldsymbol{T}_{i}$ is defined by $\boldsymbol{T}_{i}=\frac{1}{p_{i}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}-\boldsymbol{x}_{i-1}\right)$. Then, the $i$-th unit tangent angle $v_{i}$ is obtained from $\boldsymbol{T}_{i}=\left(\cos \nu_{i}, \sin \nu_{i}\right)^{\top}$ in the following way: firstly, from $\boldsymbol{T}_{1}=\left(T_{11}, T_{21}\right)^{\top}$, we obtain $\nu_{1}=2 \pi-\arccos \left(T_{11}\right)$ if $T_{12}<0 ; \nu_{1}=\arccos \left(T_{11}\right)$ if $T_{12} \geq 0$. Secondly, for $i=1,2, \cdots, N$, we successively compute $v_{i+1}$ from $v_{i}$ as follows:

$$
v_{i+1}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
v_{i}+\arcsin (D), & \text { if } I>0, \\
v_{i}+\arccos (l), & \text { if } D>0, \\
v_{i}-\arccos (l), & \text { otherwise },
\end{array} \quad \text { where } D=\operatorname{det}\left(\boldsymbol{T}_{i}, \boldsymbol{T}_{i+1}\right), I=\boldsymbol{T}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}_{i+1}\right.
$$

Finally, we obtain $\nu_{0}=\nu_{1}-\left(\nu_{N+1}-v_{N}\right)$ and $\nu_{N+2}=v_{N+1}+\left(\nu_{2}-v_{1}\right)$. If needed, we can also calculate the enclosed area by formula $A=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \boldsymbol{x}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{N}\left(v_{i}\right) p_{i}$ with the inward normal vector $\boldsymbol{N}_{i}=\left(-\sin v_{i}, \cos v_{i}\right)^{\top}$.

Construct $\left\{k_{i}^{j}\right\}$ and $\left\langle\right.$ func $\left.\left(k^{j}\right)\right\rangle$. To derive a discrete numerical scheme, we follow the flowing finite volume method adopted for curve evolutionary problems as it was proposed by Mikula and Ševčovič in [12]. Let us introduce the dual volume $\mathcal{S}_{i}^{*}=\left[\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{*}, \boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right] \cup\left[\boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{x}_{i+1}^{*}\right]$ of $\mathcal{S}_{i}$, where $\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{*}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}+\boldsymbol{x}_{i-1}\right)$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. We define the $i$-th unit tangent angle of $\mathcal{S}_{i}^{*}$ by $v_{i}^{*}=\frac{1}{2}\left(v_{i}+v_{i+1}\right)$. The $i$-th curvature $k_{i}$ is approximated by a constant value on $\mathcal{S}_{i}$, which is obtained from integration of $k=\partial_{s} \nu$ over $\mathcal{S}_{i}$ with respect to $s$ :

$$
\int_{\mathcal{S}_{i}} k \mathrm{~d} s=k_{i} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{i}} \mathrm{~d} s=k_{i} p_{i}, \quad \int_{\mathcal{S}_{i}} k \mathrm{~d} s=\int_{\mathcal{S}_{i}} \partial_{s} v \mathrm{~d} s=[v]_{\boldsymbol{x}_{i-1}}^{\boldsymbol{X}_{i}}=v_{i}^{*}-v_{i-1}^{*} .
$$

Hereafter, $\int_{\mathcal{S}_{i}}$ func ds means $\int_{s_{i-1}}^{s_{i}}$ func ds for the arc-length $s_{i}$ parameter satisfying $\boldsymbol{x}_{i}=\boldsymbol{x}\left(s_{i}, \cdot\right)$. Thus, we have $k_{i}=\left(\partial_{s} \nu^{*}\right)_{i}$, where $\left(\partial_{s} f u n c\right)_{i}=\left(\right.$ func $_{i}-$ func $\left._{i-1}\right) / p_{i}$. The $i$-th curvature $k_{i}^{*}$ at $\boldsymbol{x}_{i}$ can be defined as $k_{i}^{*}=\frac{1}{2}\left(k_{i}+k_{i+1}\right)$. The curvature is assumed to be constant $k_{i}^{*}$ over the finite volume $\mathcal{S}_{i}^{*}$. We also compute $\langle\operatorname{func}(k)\rangle=\frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{N}$ func $\left(k_{i}\right) p_{i}$.

Step 1-2 (construct $\left\{\alpha_{i}^{j}\right\}$ ). We discretize Eq. (10) for the tangential velocity $\alpha$ :

$$
\partial_{s}(\varphi \alpha)=\frac{\langle f\rangle}{\langle\varphi\rangle} \varphi-f+\left(\frac{L}{g}\langle\varphi\rangle-\varphi\right) \omega,
$$

where $f=\left(\partial_{s}^{2} \beta+k^{2} \beta\right) \varphi^{\prime}(k)-k \beta \varphi(k)$. Integrating the previous equation over $\mathcal{S}_{i}$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{S}_{i}} \partial_{s}(\varphi \alpha) \mathrm{d} s & =[\varphi(k) \alpha]_{\boldsymbol{x}_{i-1}}^{\boldsymbol{x}_{i}} \\
& =\frac{\langle f\rangle}{\langle\varphi\rangle} \int_{\mathcal{S}_{i}} \varphi(k) \mathrm{d} s-\int_{\mathcal{S}_{i}} f \mathrm{~d} s+\left(L\langle\varphi\rangle \int_{\mathcal{S}_{i}} \frac{1}{g} \mathrm{~d} s-\int_{\mathcal{S}_{i}} \varphi(k) \mathrm{d} s\right) \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{i} & =\varphi\left(k_{i}^{*}\right) \alpha_{i}-\varphi\left(k_{i-1}^{*}\right) \alpha_{i-1}=\frac{\langle f\rangle}{\langle\varphi\rangle} \varphi\left(k_{i}\right) p_{i}-f_{i} p_{i}+\left(L\langle\varphi\rangle \frac{1}{N}-\varphi\left(k_{i}\right) p_{i}\right) \omega \\
f_{i} & =\left(\left(\partial_{s}\left(\partial_{s^{*}} \beta\right)\right)_{i}+k_{i}^{2} \beta_{i}\right) \varphi^{\prime}\left(k_{i}\right)-k_{i} \beta_{i} \varphi\left(k_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\beta_{i}=\tilde{\beta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{*}, v_{i}, k_{i}\right)+\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is assumed to be constant on the finite volume $\mathcal{S}_{i}$,

$$
\left(\partial_{s}\left(\partial_{s^{*}} \beta\right)\right)_{i}=\frac{\left(\partial_{s^{*}} \beta\right)_{i}-\left(\partial_{s^{*}} \beta\right)_{i-1}}{p_{i}}=\frac{1}{p_{i}}\left[\partial_{s} \beta\right]_{\boldsymbol{x}_{i-1}}^{\boldsymbol{x}_{i}}, \quad\left(\partial_{s *} \mathrm{func}_{i}=\frac{\text { func }_{i+1}-\mathrm{func}_{i}}{p_{i}^{*}}\right.
$$

$p_{i}^{*}=\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{i}+p_{i+1}\right)$ is the length of $\mathcal{S}_{i}^{*}$. The curve averages $\langle f\rangle$ and $\langle\varphi(k)\rangle$ are approximated as follows:

$$
\langle f\rangle=\frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_{i} p_{i}, \quad\langle\varphi(k)\rangle=\frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \varphi\left(k_{i}\right) p_{i} .
$$

To uniquely determine $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$, we have to take into account the renormalization constraint $\langle\varphi(k) \alpha\rangle=0$. The average $\langle\varphi(k) \alpha\rangle$ can be discretized as follows:

$$
\langle\varphi \alpha\rangle \approx \frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \varphi\left(k_{i}^{*}\right) \alpha_{i} p_{i}^{*}
$$

Notice that $L=\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i}^{*}$. Let us define a partial sum of $\left\{\psi_{i}\right\}$ by

$$
\Psi_{i}=\sum_{l=2}^{i} \psi_{l}, \quad i=2,3, \ldots, N, \quad \Psi_{1}=0
$$

Using this notation, we obtain $\varphi\left(k_{i}^{*}\right) \alpha_{i} p_{i}^{*}=\varphi\left(k_{1}^{*}\right) \alpha_{1} p_{i}^{*}+\Psi_{i} p_{i}^{*}$. By summing these terms for $i=1,2, \ldots, N$, we obtain

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \varphi\left(k_{i}^{*}\right) \alpha_{i} p_{i}^{*}=\varphi\left(k_{1}^{*}\right) \alpha_{1} L+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \Psi_{i} p_{i}^{*}, \quad L=\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i}^{*}
$$

This way, we have computed the vector $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}$ of tangential velocities:

$$
\alpha_{1}=-\frac{1}{L \varphi\left(k_{1}^{*}\right)} \sum_{i=2}^{N} \Psi_{i} p_{i}^{*}, \quad \alpha_{i}=\frac{1}{\varphi\left(k_{i}^{*}\right)}\left(\varphi\left(k_{1}^{*}\right) \alpha_{1}+\Psi_{i}\right), \quad i=2,3, \ldots, N .
$$

In Step 2, the $j$-th step data $\left\{p_{i}^{j}\right\},\left\{v_{i}^{j}\right\},\left\{k_{i}^{j}\right\}, L^{j},\left\langle\right.$ func $\left.\left(k^{j}\right)\right\}$ are updated to the new data vectors $\left\{\bar{p}_{i}\right\},\left\{\bar{v}_{i}\right\},\left\{\bar{k}_{i}\right\}, \bar{L},\langle$ func $(\bar{k})\rangle$. Notice that these data vectors form intermediate step quantities between $j$ and $j+1$-th time level. To update the position vector in Step 3, they are updated to the $(j+1)$-th step data by means of $\left\{\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{j+1}\right\}$ as in the final step. ${ }^{\ddagger}$

Step 2-1 (compute $\left\{\bar{p}_{i}\right\}$ and $\bar{L}$ ). We semi-implicitly discretize Eq. (5) for $g$ over $\mathcal{S}_{i}^{j}$ to obtain

$$
\frac{\bar{p}_{i}-p_{i}^{j}}{\tau}=-k_{i}^{j} \beta_{i}^{j} \bar{p}_{i}+\alpha_{i}^{j}-\alpha_{i-1}^{j}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N .
$$

It can be solved as follows:

$$
\bar{p}_{i}=\frac{1+\left(\partial_{s} \alpha\right)_{i}^{j} \tau}{1+k_{i}^{j} \beta_{i}^{j} \tau} p_{i}^{j}=\frac{p_{i}^{j}+\left(\alpha_{i}^{j}-\alpha_{i-1}^{j}\right) \tau}{1+k_{i}^{j} \beta_{i}^{j} \tau}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N .
$$

Note that $g=\left|\partial_{u} \boldsymbol{x}\right|$ on $\mathcal{S}_{i}^{j}$ is discretized as $N p_{i}^{j}=\frac{\left|\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{j}-\boldsymbol{x}_{i-1}^{j}\right|}{1 / N}$. Then, we obtain $\bar{L}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \bar{p}_{i}$.
Step 2-2 (compute $\left\{\bar{k}_{i}\right\}$ and $\langle$ func $(\bar{k})\rangle$ ). We semi-implicitly discretize Eq. (3) for $k$ on $\mathcal{S}_{i}^{j}$. For $i=1,2, \ldots, N$, we obtain

$$
\frac{\bar{k}_{i}-k_{i}^{j}}{\tau}=\partial_{\bar{s}}\left(\left(\tilde{\beta}_{k}^{\prime}\right)^{j}\left(\partial_{\bar{s}^{*}} \bar{k}\right)+\left(\tilde{\beta}_{v}^{\prime}\right)^{j^{*}} \bar{k}^{*}+\left(\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \tilde{\beta}^{j} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}\left(v^{* j}\right)\right)_{i}+\alpha_{i}^{* j}\left(\partial_{\bar{s}} \bar{k}^{*}\right)_{i}+\left(k_{i}^{j}\right)^{2} \beta_{i}^{\bar{j}}\right.
$$

We end up with the tridiagonal system:

$$
a_{-} \bar{k}_{i-1}+a_{0} \bar{k}_{i}+a_{+} \bar{k}_{i+1}=k_{i}^{j}+\left(\partial_{\bar{s}}\left(\left(\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \tilde{\beta}\right)^{j} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}\left(\nu^{* j}\right)\right)_{i}+\left(k_{i}^{j}\right)^{2} \beta_{i}^{\bar{j}}\right) \tau, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N,
$$

subject to periodic boundary conditions $\bar{k}_{0}=\bar{k}_{N}, \bar{k}_{N+1}=\bar{k}_{1}$, where

$$
a_{-}=-\frac{\tau}{\bar{p}_{i}}\left(\frac{\left(\tilde{\beta}_{k}^{\prime}\right)_{i-1}^{j}}{\bar{p}_{i-1}^{*}}-\frac{\left(\tilde{\beta}_{v}^{\prime}\right)_{i-1}^{j}}{2}-\frac{\alpha_{i}^{* j}}{2}\right), \quad a_{+}=-\frac{\tau}{\bar{p}_{i}}\left(\frac{\left(\tilde{\beta}_{k}^{\prime}\right)_{i}^{j}}{\bar{p}_{i}^{*}}+\frac{\left(\tilde{\beta}_{\nu}^{\prime}\right)_{i}^{j}}{2}+\frac{\alpha_{i}^{* j}}{2}\right)
$$

and $a_{0}=1-\left(a_{-}+a_{+}\right)$. Here, the values of $\left(\tilde{\beta}_{k}^{\prime}\right)_{i}^{j}\left(\tilde{\beta}_{v}^{\prime}\right)_{i,}^{j},\left(\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \tilde{\beta}\right)_{i}^{j} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}\left(v_{i}^{* j}\right)$ are evaluated at $\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{* j}, v_{i}^{j}, k_{i}^{j}\right)$ and $\beta_{i}^{\bar{j}}=\tilde{\beta}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{* j}, v_{i}^{j}, k_{i}^{j}\right)+$ $\mathcal{F}\left(\bar{L}, A^{j},\left\langle\operatorname{func}\left(k^{\bar{j}}\right)\right\rangle, \cdots\right)$, where $\left\langle\operatorname{func}\left(k^{\bar{j}}\right)\right\rangle=\frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \operatorname{func}\left(k_{i}^{j}\right) \bar{p}_{i}$. We also obtain updated nonlocal data $\langle$ func $(\bar{k})\rangle=\frac{1}{L} \sum_{i=1}^{N}$ func $\left(\bar{k}_{i}\right) \bar{p}_{i}$.

Step 2-3 (compute $\left\{\bar{v}_{i}\right\}$ ). We semi-implicitly discretize Eq. (3) for $v$ over $\mathcal{S}_{i}^{j}$. We obtain

$$
\frac{\bar{\nu}_{i}-v_{i}^{j}}{\tau}=\left(\tilde{\beta}_{k}^{\prime}\right)_{i}^{\bar{j}}\left(\partial_{\bar{s}}\left(\partial_{\bar{s}^{*}} \bar{\nu}\right)\right)_{i}+\left(\alpha_{i}^{* j}+\left(\tilde{\beta}_{v}^{\prime}\right)_{i}^{\bar{j}}\right)\left(\partial_{\bar{s}} \bar{\nu}^{*}\right)_{i}+\left(\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \tilde{\beta}\right)_{i}^{\bar{j}} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}\left(v_{i}^{j}\right), \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N,
$$

where the boundary data are given by $\bar{\nu}_{0}=\bar{\nu}_{2}-2 \bar{k}_{1} \bar{p}_{1}, \bar{v}_{N+1}=\bar{v}_{N-1}+2 \bar{k}_{N} \bar{p}_{N}$, which are the same as $\bar{k}_{i}=\left(\partial_{\bar{s}} \bar{\nu}^{*}\right)_{i}$ for $i=1, N$, respectively. It follows from the aforementioned discretized equation that $v$ can be computed by solving the tridiagonal system:

$$
a_{-} \bar{v}_{i-1}+a_{0} \bar{v}_{i}+a_{+} \bar{v}_{i+1}=b_{i}, \quad b_{i}=v_{i}^{j}+\left(\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \tilde{\beta}\right)_{i}^{\bar{j}} \cdot \boldsymbol{T}\left(v_{i}^{j}\right) \tau, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N,
$$

because the first and the N -th rows are given by

$$
a_{0} \bar{v}_{1}+\left(a_{-}+a_{+}\right) \bar{v}_{2}=b_{1}+2 a_{-} \bar{k}_{1} \bar{p}_{1}, \quad\left(a_{-}+a_{+}\right) \bar{v}_{N-1}+a_{0} \bar{v}_{N}=b_{N}-2 a_{+} \bar{k}_{N} \bar{p}_{N}
$$

respectively, where

$$
a_{-}=-\frac{\tau}{\bar{p}_{i}}\left(\frac{\left(\tilde{\beta}_{k}^{\prime}\right)_{i}^{\bar{j}}}{\bar{p}_{i-1}^{*}}-\frac{\alpha_{i}^{* j}+\left(\tilde{\beta}_{v}^{\prime}\right)_{i}^{\bar{j}}}{2}\right), \quad a_{+}=-\frac{\tau}{\bar{p}_{i}}\left(\frac{\left(\tilde{\beta}_{k}^{\prime}\right)_{i}^{\bar{j}}}{\bar{p}_{i}^{*}}+\frac{\alpha_{i}^{* j}+\left(\tilde{\beta}_{v}^{\prime}\right)_{i}^{\bar{j}}}{2}\right)
$$

and $a_{0}=1-\left(a_{-}+a_{+}\right)$. Here, the values of $\left(\tilde{\beta}_{k}^{\prime}\right)_{j^{\prime}}^{\bar{j}}\left(\tilde{\beta}_{v}^{\prime}\right)_{i}^{\bar{j}}$ and $\left(\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \tilde{\beta}^{\bar{j}}{ }_{i}^{\bar{j}}\right.$ are evaluated at $\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}^{* j}, v_{i}^{j}, \bar{k}_{i}\right)$, respectively. Having constructed the new tangential angle vector $\left\{\bar{v}_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{N}$, we extend it for $i=0,1, N, N+1$ as follows: $\bar{\nu}_{0}=\bar{\nu}_{2}-2 \bar{k}_{1} \bar{p}_{1}, \bar{v}_{N+1}=\bar{v}_{1}+\left(\bar{v}_{N}-\bar{v}_{0}\right)$, and also $\bar{v}_{N+2}=\bar{v}_{N+1}+\left(\bar{v}_{2}-\bar{v}_{1}\right)$ if it is necessary.

Step 3 (compute $\left\{\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{j+1}\right\}$ ). Now we are ready to obtain updated values of $\left\{\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{j+1}\right\}$ by solving semi-implicitly discretized equation (6) for the position vector $\boldsymbol{x}$ over the finite volume $\mathcal{S}_{i}^{* j}$ :

$$
\frac{\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{j+1}-\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{j}}{\tau}=w_{i}^{* \bar{j}}\left(\partial_{\bar{s}^{*}}\left(\partial_{\bar{s}} \boldsymbol{x}\right)\right)_{i}^{j+1}+\alpha_{i}^{j}\left(\partial_{\bar{s}^{*} *} \boldsymbol{x}^{*}\right)_{i}^{j+1}+\left(F_{i}^{* \bar{j}}+\mathcal{F}_{i}^{\bar{j}}\right) \boldsymbol{N}\left(\bar{v}_{i}^{*}\right), \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N .
$$

We obtain the tridiagonal system with the periodic boundary condition $\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_{0}=\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{N}, \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_{N+1}=\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{1}$ :

$$
a_{-} \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i-1}+a_{0} \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{i}+a_{+} \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i+1}=\mathbf{x}_{i}^{j}+\left(F_{i}^{* \bar{j}}+\mathcal{F}_{i}^{\bar{j}}\right) \boldsymbol{N}\left(\bar{v}_{i}^{*}\right) \tau, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N,
$$

where

$$
a_{-}=-\frac{\tau}{\bar{p}_{i}^{*}}\left(\frac{w_{i}^{* \bar{j}}}{\bar{p}_{i}}-\frac{\alpha_{i}^{j}}{2}\right), \quad a_{+}=-\frac{\tau}{\bar{p}_{i}^{*}}\left(\frac{w_{i}^{* \bar{j}}}{\bar{p}_{i+1}}+\frac{\alpha_{i}^{j}}{2}\right)
$$

and $a_{0}=1-\left(a_{-}+a_{+}\right)$. Here, we have used the following values: $w_{i}^{* \bar{j}}=w\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{j}, \bar{v}_{i}^{*}, \bar{k}_{i}^{*}\right), F_{i}^{* \bar{j}}=F\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{j}, \bar{v}_{i}^{*}\right)$, and $\left.\left.\mathcal{F}_{i}^{\bar{j}}=\mathcal{F}\left(\bar{L}, A^{j}, \mathcal{E}^{j}\right)\right\rangle, \ldots\right)$.

## 7. Computational results

In this section, we present several computational examples of applications of the numerical scheme proposed in the previous section. In all our experiments, we chose $N=100$ spatial grid points to discretize evolving curves. In all examples, the initial curve has large variations in the curvature. Therefore, accurate resolution of the evolved curve near narrow tails and kinks was required. Especially, in the case of thin dumbbell initial curve connecting two open circular bubbles by a thin and long tubular channel, a very fine resolution of the curve and its curvature near the smooth connection of circles and tube was required. We turn on the tangential redistribution by choosing the curvature adjusted tangential velocity $\alpha$ with the shape function $\varphi(k)$ of the form

$$
\varphi(k)=1-\varepsilon+\varepsilon \sqrt{1-\varepsilon+\varepsilon k^{2}}, \quad \text { where } \varepsilon=0.1
$$

In our first three examples shown in Figure 1, we present the area-preserving curve evolution with the normal velocity

$$
\beta=k-\frac{2 \pi}{L}
$$

We can observe stable computation of evolving curves approaching a circle with the area identical to the area of initial curve.
In the next example depicted in Figure 2, we show evolution of a thin dumbbell initial curve. Because of the application of the curvature adjusted tangential velocity, we obtained fine and accurate resolution of parts of the evolved curve having large modulus of the curvature, and we could compute its evolution preserving the enclosed area over sufficiently large time interval until it became convex. The limiting curve is again a circle.

The second set of examples is shown in Figure 3. It is devoted to the total length-preserving flow with the normal velocity given by

$$
\beta=k-\frac{\mathcal{E}}{2 \pi}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}=\int_{\Gamma} k^{2} \mathrm{ds}$ is the total elastic energy. In Figure 4, evolution of the thin dumbbell initial curve is shown. In contrast to the area-preserving flow of the thin dumbbell initial curve (see Figure 2), the length-preserving evolution is faster in the outward normal direction, and the limiting curve is approaching a larger circle with the perimeter equal to the length of the initial curve. Again, because of application of the curvature adjusted tangential velocity, we were able to accurately handle initial large variations in the curvature.

In our last set of examples, we present the isoperimetric ratio gradient flow. In this flow, the normal velocity is given by

$$
\beta=k-\frac{L}{2 A}
$$



Figure 1. Evolution of three initial curves by the area-preserving flow.


Figure 2. Evolution of a thin dumbbell initial curve by the area-preserving flow.


Figure 3. Evolution of three initial curves by the total length-preserving flow.


Figure 4. Evolution of a thin dumbbell initial curve by the total length-preserving flow.

In Figure 5, we show the evolution of the same thin dumbbell initial curve as in Figures 2-4. The limiting curve is again a circle. It is a larger (smaller) circle when compared with the area-preserving (length-preserving) flow. The initial thin dumbbell initial curve is not convex and it violates the Gage isoperimetric inequality (31). If we restate inequality (31) in the form

$$
\frac{\pi}{A} \leq \frac{1}{L} \int_{\Gamma} k^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \equiv\left\langle k^{2}\right\rangle
$$



Figure 5. Evolution of a thin dumbbell initial curve by the isoperimetric ratio gradient flow.


Figure 6. Comparison of $\left\langle k^{2}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{L} \int_{\Gamma} k^{2} \mathrm{ds}$ (solid line) and $\frac{\pi}{A}$ (dotted line). Evolution of the thin dumbbell initial curve by the isoperimetric ratio gradient flow. Initial violation of the Gage inequality due to nonconvexity of $\Gamma^{t}$.

## Conclusions

In this paper, we proposed and analyzed the so-called curvature adjusted tangential velocity for a flow of plane curves. Evolution in the inner normal direction is driven by the normal velocity that may depend on the curvature, position, tangential angle, and some nonlocal quantities like the total length, enclosed area, and total elastic energy of a curve. We showed local existence, uniqueness, and continuation of classical solutions to the system of governing geometric equations. A stable numerical approximation scheme based on the flowing finite volume method with curvature adjusted tangential velocity was also proposed. Its capability has been tested on several computational examples involving nonlocal geometric flows.
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